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A B S T R A C T

A global overview of glacier advances and retreats (grouped by regions and by millennia) for the Holocene is compiled from previous studies. The reconstructions of glacier fluctuations are based on 1) mapping and dating moraines defined by 14C, TCN, OSL, lichenometry and tree rings (discontinuous records/time series), and 2) sediments from proglacial lakes and speleothems (continuous records/time series). Using 189 continuous and discontinuous time series, the long-term trends and centennial fluctuations of glaciers were compared to trends in the recession of Northern and mountain tree lines, and with orbital, solar and volcanic studies to examine the likely forcing factors that drove the changes recorded. A general trend of increasing glacier size from the early–mid Holocene, to the late Holocene in the extra-tropical areas of the Northern Hemisphere (NH) is related to overall summer temperature, forced by orbitally-controlled insolation. The glaciers in New Zealand and in the tropical Andes also appear to follow the orbital trend, i.e., they were decreasing from the early Holocene to the present. In contrast, glacier fluctuations in some monsoonal areas of Asia and southern South America generally did not follow the orbital trends, but fluctuated at a higher frequency possibly triggered by distinct teleconnections patterns. During the Neoglacial, advances clustered at 4.4–4.2 ka, 3.8–3.4 ka, 3.3–2.8 ka, 2.6 ka, 2.3–2.1 ka, 1.5–1.4 ka, 1.2–1.0 ka, 0.7–0.5 ka, corresponding to general cooling periods in the North Atlantic. Some of these episodes coincide with multidecadal periods of low solar activity, but it is unclear what mechanism might link small changes in irradiance to widespread glacier fluctuations. Explosive volcanism may have played a role in some periods of glacier advances, such as around 1.7–1.6 ka (coinciding with the Taupo volcanic eruption at 232 ± 5 CE) but the record of explosive volcanism is poorly known through the Holocene. The compilation of ages suggests that there is no single mechanism driving glacier fluctuations on a global scale. Multidecadal variations of solar and volcanic activity supported by positive feedbacks in the climate system may have played a critical role in Holocene glaciation, but further research on such linkages is needed. The rate and the global character of glacier retreat in the 20th through early 21st centuries appears unusual in the context of Holocene glaciation, though the retreating glaciers in most parts of the Northern Hemisphere are still larger today than they were in the early and/or mid-Holocene. The current retreat,
1. Introduction

The retreat of glaciers worldwide recorded in all mountain systems, in the Arctic and in Antarctica, over the past century provides some of the most striking evidence in support of current human-induced global climate change (IPCC, 2007, 2013). A primary conclusion, based on an evaluation of Holocene glacier variations, formulated in the Fourth Assessment Report, which was generally confirmed in the recent AR5 states: “Glaciers in several mountain regions of the Northern Hemisphere retreated in response to orbitally forced regional warmth between 11 and 5 ka, and were smaller (or even absent) at times prior to 5 ka than at the end of the 20th century. The present day near-global retreat of mountain glaciers cannot be attributed to the same natural causes, because the decrease of summer insolation during the past few millennia in the Northern Hemisphere should be favourable to the growth of the glaciers.” (IPCC, 2007, page 436). This conclusion supports most of the other findings reported in the IPCC Assessments, however, it is clear that many specific questions regarding the dynamics of glacial systems, specifically temporal and spatial variability, remain unanswered (Wanner et al., 2008, 2011). A main limitation for a more detailed understanding and conclusion is the lack of well-defined and detailed Holocene glacial chronologies, especially for the Southern Hemisphere (SH), Central Asia and Antarctica as well as a comprehensive global synthesis and review.

Retreating glaciers are releasing wood, soils, plant detritus and archaeological artefacts that were buried beneath the ice. Dating of these remains using radiocarbon or tree-ring techniques is providing exciting and important information on the scale, timing and duration of former Holocene glacier oscillations, as well as providing additional information about periods when the glaciers were close to or smaller than their present sizes (Hornes et al., 2001; Miller et al., 2005; Koch et al., 2007; Ivy-Ochs et al., 2009; Agatova et al., 2012; Goehring et al., 2012; Nesje et al., 2011; Nesje and Matthews, 2012; Margreth et al., 2014) (see also “Data and methods of reconstructions of glacier variations” in the Supplementary materials”).

Studies of Holocene glacial geomorphic and sedimentological records provide the most direct means of determining the extent and timing of glacier oscillations. Until recently it has been difficult to define the ages of moraines in many regions because of the lack of appropriate dating techniques. Radiocarbon has been the most widely used and in some cases optically stimulated luminescence (OSL) dating has been implemented, but in most cases these can only be utilized to provide maximum and/or minimum ages on moraines by dating organic-rich deposits that are buried beneath moraines/tills, beyond the glacial limit (maximum ages), on top of moraines, or within the glacial limit (minimum ages). The development of terrestrial cosmogenic nuclide (TCN) dating, however, has provided a direct method of dating moraines and has lead to a plethora of studies that are shedding new light on the nature of Holocene glacier fluctuations (Douglass et al., 2005; Kerschner et al., 2006; Benson et al., 2007; Glasser et al., 2009; Llicciardi et al., 2009; Ivy-Ochs et al., 2009; Schaefer et al., 2009; Kaplan et al., 2010; Joneli et al., 2011; Putnam et al., 2012; Schindelwig et al., 2012; Schimmelpfennig et al., 2012a,b; Balco et al., 2013; Badding et al., 2013; Briner et al., 2013; Young et al., 2013; Dortch et al., 2013; Kelly et al., 2013; Briner et al., 2014; Owen and Dortch, 2014; Murari et al., 2014). TCN dating has its own challenges, which are discussed in more detail below (see also “Data and methods of reconstructions of glacier variations” in the Supplementary materials”).

Continuous reconstructions of glacier size variations based on lake sediments provide information on glacier oscillations (Leemann and Niessen, 1994; Karlen et al., 1999; Leonard and Reasoner, 1999; Abbott et al., 2003; Levy et al., 2004; Anderson et al., 2005; Thomas et al., 2010; Bowerman and Clark, 2011; Nesje et al., 2014) and may allow quantitative reconstructions of equilibrium-line altitudes (ELAs) and analyses of the frequency of the Holocene glacier oscillations (Dahl and Nesje, 1994; Nesje et al., 2000; Bakke et al., 2005a,b,c, 2010, 2013; Matthews et al., 2005; Matthews and Dresser, 2008). Additionally, the size and stability of ice-shelves can be assessed by examining glaciomarine sediments (Pudsey and Evans, 2001; Antoniades et al., 2011; Hodgson, 2011). Ice core records (Koerner and Fisher, 2002; Thompson et al., 2006; Buffle et al., 2009; Herren et al., 2013) and, in some circumstances, speleothem-based reconstructions (Luetscher et al., 2011) are also useful for estimating the timing and extent of former glaciers.

Descriptions of the local and regional patterns of Holocene glacier oscillations have been presented in numerous papers over the past decade, including special issues in Global and Planetary Change (v. 60, 2008, “Historical and Holocene Glacier—Climate Variations”) and in Quaternary Science Reviews (v. 29, 2009, “Holocene and Latest Pleistocene Alpine Glacier Fluctuations: A Global Perspective”), and a special volume in the Developments in Quaternary Science series (v. 15, 2011, Quaternary glaciations-extent and chronology: a closer look). These recent advances, including the greater number of detailed local and regional reconstructions of the Holocene glacier oscillations present an opportunity to undertake a comprehensive global review of Holocene glaciation. This paper therefore aims to review the global Holocene glacial and associated geomorphic and sedimentological record to help define the general trends in Holocene glacier oscillations and evaluate potential forcing mechanisms. This information is important for understanding and modeling past, present and future climate and associated cryospheric changes.

We focus on the following questions:

1. What were the long-term trends in Holocene glacier variability?
2. What were the periods of major glacier advances in key mountain regions in the Holocene and how synchronous were they regionally, throughout each hemisphere, and globally?
3. What is the magnitude of the most pronounced glacier retreat during the Holocene in different regions, and when did it happen? Moreover, was this comparable with the glacier retreat being experienced at the end of 20th–early 21st century? In addition, when and where were the glaciers smaller than at the end of 20th–early 21st century, and what were the possible forcing mechanisms?
2. Data and approach used in this paper

We update here the results published in the selection of papers in *Quaternary Science Reviews* (2009) using some more recent publications (Schafer et al., 2010; Mackintosh et al., 2011; Putnam et al., 2012; Dortch et al., 2013; Murari et al., 2014; Jomelli et al., 2014; Owen and Dortch, 2014; Hodgson et al., 2014a,b etc.). However we do not list the individual numerical ages supporting these chronologies. Instead we focus on the search for certain patterns in glacier variations in the Holocene and their potential drivers. We direct readers to the original publications for the details and individual dates of glacier advances.

For the local chronologies, in most cases we use the ages for glacier advances and retreats provided by the authors in the original publications and the best estimates from expert reviews. The accuracy and the robustness of the time series of glacier variations are variable and are certainly generally more accurate in the Alps and Scandinavia, than in most other regions, although new records in regions such as New Zealand are perhaps making this more of a perception than a reality. At present the best we can do to assess the global pattern is to select the reconstructions that yielded the most reliable ages supported by several lines of evidence. We use ages that are internally calibrated and corrected for reservoir effects as provided by the authors. Uncalibrated $^{14}$C ages in the original publications are calibrated here using Oxcal v 3.5 (95.4% probability) (Ramsey, 1995). To recalculate the age for the SH we used SHCal-13 curve. All $^{14}$C ages presented here are calibrated and reported here as “ka”, where “ka” is thousand years before present (conventionally 1950), i.e., calibrated $^{14}$C years ago. The $^{14}$C reservoir effect in Antarctica (due to the “old radiocarbon” in seawater) is generally estimated to be ca 1130 ± 200 years (Reimer et al., 2009, Hall, 2009), but in some instances a local reservoir correction is used (e.g. Pudsey and Evans, 2001). We use CE for the years of the “Common Era” instead of AD (“Anno Domini”).

Calculating $^{10}$Be ages requires use of a $^{10}$Be production rate and currently there are a number of rates from which to choose. Existing $^{10}$Be production rates vary by up to ~14%, and thus $^{10}$Be ages could systematically shift by up to ~14% depending on which production rate is used. Production rates, however, fall into two distinct categories – the canonical ‘global’ $^{10}$Be production rate (Balco et al., 2008) and suite of several recent regional $^{10}$Be production-rate calibration datasets (Balco et al., 2009; Putnam et al., 2010; Fenton et al., 2011; Kaplan et al., 2011; Goehring et al., 2012; Young et al., 2013; Blard et al., 2013; Kelly et al., 2013). Regional production rates are generally consistent with each other, have higher precision than the global rate and are systematically ~7–14% lower than the global production rate. Most of the Holocene $^{10}$Be-based glacier chronologies reported in this text use one of these recent regional production rates, and because these regional rates are internally consistent, we report these $^{10}$Be ages as published. We do note, however, that there are some $^{10}$Be datasets that were calculated with the ‘global’ production rate. These $^{10}$Be ages mainly come from central Asia, and without the current availability of a regional production-rate calibration, these ages are reported as originally published. The TCN ages for some tropical areas are recalibrated by Jomelli et al. (2014).

Geographically, we use the regional divisions introduced in the IPCC report (2013), but we consider North and South of Arctic Canada together, as well as the monsoon areas in West and East Asia. We also included two regions for Antarctica – the Antarctic Peninsula with its neighboring archipelagos, and the Sub-Antarctic islands (Fig. 1).

The uncertainty associated with dating of the Holocene glacier records is usually more than a few hundred years and therefore we do not discuss multidecadal variability. However, many proxy series defining glacier oscillations for the last one to two millennia are much more accurately dated and can be analyzed at the decadal or multi-decadal levels. To preserve consistency between datasets and to assure the homogeneity of the time series, these late Holocene time series will be considered in the same way as the earlier less accurately dated glacier events. The last 2 ka glacier oscillations will be reviewed in comparison with the high-resolution climatic regional proxies in a separate paper (Solomina et al., in preparation for *Quaternary Science Reviews*). Following IPCC AR5 (2013) guidelines, we use here the following approximate boundaries: ~1350 to ~1850 CE for the Little Ice Age (LIA) and ~950 to 1250 CE for the Medieval Climatic Anomaly (MCA).

3. Regional compilations of Holocene glacier variations

The time series of Holocene glacier oscillations are shown in Fig. 2. They present time series from individual glaciers, composites for several of them, and regional summaries based on different proxies of glacier activity. The periods of glacier advances sorted by millennium are shown at Fig. 3. These results together with the other records of regional glacier fluctuations are discussed below.

3.1. Alaska

Overall four major intervals of ice expansion are detected across Alaska, about 4.5–4.0 ka, 3.3–2.9 ka, 2.2–2.0 ka, 550–720 CE and last millennium expansion, generally grouped into intervals spanning 1180–1320, 1540–1710 and 1810–1880 CE (broadly defining the temporal extent of the LIA) (Grove, 2004; Barclay et al., 2009a,b). Limited early Holocene evidence is available but in many regions ice may have been absent (Levy et al., 2004; McKay and Kaufman, 2009). Neoglacial ice advance starting at 4–3 ka is strong and widespread. $^{10}$Be ages on moraines from the Brooks Range show advance in cirques at 4.6 and 2.7 ka (Badding et al., 2013). They point out that both of these moraine ages are consistent with work by Ellis and Calkin (1984) and Solomina and Calkin (2003) who reported moraine ages of 4.1, 3.3 and 2.6 ka based on lichen ages of moraines in the Brooks Range.

Glacier activity between 4.5 and 4.0 ka is also recognized from lake cores in the Chugach Mountains (McKay and Kaufman, 2009). Lake cores show increased cooling and inferred glacier advance about 3 ka in the south-western Ahklun Mountains (Levy et al., 2004; Kathan, 2006) and widespread glacial activity between 3.3 and 2.9 ka is recognized from the Alaska Range (Young et al., 2009) and southern Alaska in the Wrangell-St. Elias and Kenai Mountains (Barclay et al., 2009a,b). Ice advance is recognized in the Wrangell Mountains at about 2.0 ka (Wiles et al., 2002) and in the Coast Mountains about 2.2–2.0 ka (Rothlisberger, 1986).

A strong advance during the first millennium AD (~600–900 CE) is dated with radiocarbon (Wiles et al., 2002, 2004) and tree-rings (Barclay et al., 2013) along the coast and with lichen in the Brooks and Alaska Ranges (Barclay et al., 2009a,b) and in the Alaska Range using $^{10}$Be and lichen (Young et al., 2009). This expansion is especially strong along the coastal ranges of Canada and the Gulf of Alaska (Reyes et al., 2006; Barclay et al., 2009a, 2009b).

Following a well-defined Medieval warming in coastal Alaska centered on 950 CE (Wiles et al., 2014) the ubiquitous and the most extensive Holocene ice advances were attained during different phases of the last millennium (Barclay et al., 2009a,b). The expansions range from coastal southern Alaska to the Brooks Range (Badding et al., 2013); retreat has dominated over the past 100 years (Molnia, 2008) since the LIA maxima.
Barclay et al. (2009a) summarize the details of many of the coastal Alaskan tidewater glaciers that show major tidewater systems in the Kenai Fiords, Icy Bay, Hubbard Glacier, Lituya Bay, Glacier Bay and Laconite Glacier as advancing at various times during the mid Holocene and during the last two thousand years. More recent work from Glacier Bay National Park and Preserve (Wiles et al., 2011; Horton, 2013; Nash, 2014) show strong advances at 3.0, 1.4 ka and during the last 1 ka when land-terminating glaciers were also advancing.

3.2. Western Canada and USA

In a comprehensive treatment, Menounos et al. (2009) assembled the latest Pleistocene and Holocene glacier fluctuations for western Canada and summarized the results along a stretch of 2000 km of the North American Cordillera from portions of the Alberta and British Columbia Provinces in the south, to the Yukon and Northwest Territories. During the latest Pleistocene the Cordilleran ice sheet inundated most of the ranges across the region and portions of it then readvanced about 11.0 ka after which retreat dominated for several thousand years.

Hundreds of radiocarbon ages and dated moraines show that there were at least six periods of Holocene glacier advances (Menounos et al., 2009) about 8.6–8.1 ka, 7.4–6.5 ka, 4.4–4.0 ka, 3.7–2.8 ka, 1.7 ka–1.3 ka and during the LIA. The 8 ka advance corresponds to the 8.2 ka event and is consistent with chironomid records from western Canada (Chase et al., 2008; Bunbury and Gajewski, 2009). An update of glacial histories include the papers by Osborn et al. (2012), Hoffman and Smith (2013), Clague et al. (2010), Coulthard et al. (2013), Harvey et al. (2012), Koch and Clague (2011), and Koehler and Smith (2011). These studies have recognized an additional period of ice expansion in the Mount Waddington area at 5.8 ka, consistent with other proxy records from palynology, from a marine core along the coast (Galloway et al., 2011) and interior records from lakes (Gavin et al., 2011) that all show decreased summer temperatures. Additionally, detail has been added to the chronology of the last two millennia including the recognition of ice expansion during the MCA (Koch and Clague, 2011). Subsequent advances through the Holocene are more extensive than those previous and the LIA advances are the Holocene Maxima.

Davis et al. (1988, 2009) summarized the Holocene glacial record of the western USA including the Cascade and Rocky Mountains; here we add recent compilations for the Sierra Nevada (Bowerman and Clark, 2011) and new records from the Cascades (Osborn et al., 2012). Osborn et al. (2012) recognized a possible early Holocene ice advance about 6 ka, followed by series of progressively more extensive advances about 2.2 ka, 1.6 ka and during the LIA. This chronology from Mount Baker is consistent with other chronologies in the Cascades (Davis et al., 2009) and shows that the late LIA advances in the mid-1800s were the most extensive. The glacier chronology from the Sierra Nevada (Konrad and Clark, 1998, Bowerman and Clark, 2011) based on radiocarbon and lake sediment cores shows increased Neoglacial activity between about 3.3 and 2.8 ka and then maxima at 2.2, 1.6 ka, and the LIA at 0.7 ka and between 0.25 ka and 0.17 ka. The LIA in the Western American Cordillera is also the most extended period of glaciation in the Holocene (Davis et al., 2009).

3.3. Arctic Canada

The following updates the work of Briner et al. (2009) who summarized the latest work on the Pleistocene and Holocene glaciation of Arctic Canada. Examining the record primarily on Baffin Island, two distinct intervals of ice-margin variability were identified: 1) minor glacier advances during the early Holocene that punctuated overall glacier retreat, and 2) a series of glacier advances in the Neoglacial, culminating in the LIA. In the early Holocene, mountain glaciers and outlets of the Laurentide Ice Sheet advanced to deposit what are known as the Cockburn Moraines.
Recently, Young et al. (2012) directly dated alpine moraines with \(^{10}\)Be and determined that the Cockburn moraines, at least in part, were deposited in response to the 8.2 ka event (see Figs. 2 and 3). Moreover, it appears that glaciers were more extensive during the 8.2 ka event than during the Younger Dryas. One possible explanation is that cooling during the 8.2 ka event was spread out over the seasons, whereas for the YD cooling may have been primarily a substantial winter cooling (Young et al., 2012). However, it is likely that the Cockburn moraines do not mark the synchronous advance of Baffin Island ice masses to the 8.2 ka event (see Figs. 2 and 3); in at least one location a mapped Cockburn moraine must be older than ca 10.3 ka (Briner et al., 2009).

In northeastern Baffin Island, lake records suggest that glaciers were present in their catchments between 10 and 6 ka, and then achieved their minimum extent sometime between 6 and 2 ka (Thomas et al., 2010). It appears that the Barnes Ice Cap persisted through the entire Holocene, which is surprising considering many proxy records show summer temperatures were several degrees warmer during the mid Holocene relative to the present time (Thomas et al., 2010). A pan-island suite of radiocarbon ages indicate that glacier re-expansion was underway by ca 5 ka (Miller et al., 2013), perhaps as early as 6 ka in some localities (Briner et al., 2009). Most sites however do not show advance until 3.5–2.5 ka (Briner et al., 2009).

Rooted organic materials emerging from ice margins show an initial pulse of ice expansion between ca 800–950 CE, followed by the abrupt onset of the LIA about 1275–1300 CE and intensification and readvance about 1430–1455 CE (Miller et al., 2012). Alpine glaciers on Baffin Island reached their Holocene maxima sometime during the LIA and persisted at these margins into the 20th century (Briner et al., 2009; Thomas et al., 2010).

3.4. Greenland

Kelly and Lowell (2009) summarized research on oscillations of local glaciers independent of the Greenland Ice Sheet during the Holocene. Scarce data exist to define the extent of local glaciers during the Holocene compared to the extensive data for fluctuations of the Greenland Ice Sheet. Evidence of Lateglacial and early Holocene advances of local glaciers is reported from Disko Island and the Scoresby Sound region. Following the early Holocene, most glaciers were smaller than at present or disappeared completely during the mid-Holocene. In general, local glacier advances that occurred during the period between 1200 and 1940 CE are the most extensive since Lateglacial early Holocene deglaciation.

A lake sequence from Qipisagpat, a threshold lake in south Greenland, provides a continuous record of Holocene palaeoenvironmental changes during the last 9.1 ka (Kaplan et al., 2002).
A Holocene thermal maximum was recorded between approximately 6.0 ka and 3.0 ka, followed by a significant change in the lake proxies between 3.0 ka and 2.0 ka as a response to cooling in the Neoglaciation. Mild periods within the Neoglacial occurred 1.3 ± 0.9 ka and 0.50 ± 0.28 ka.

Surface exposure ages from $^{10}$Be and radiocarbon-dated lake sediments were used to reconstruct Holocene oscillations of the ice margin along the Jakobshavn Isfjord in western Greenland (Young et al., 2011). Following early Holocene glacier advances before 8.0 ka, Jakobshavn Isbrae retreated rapidly most likely due to higher summer temperatures. The glacier remained behind the present margin for about 7.0 ka, however, between CE 1500 and CE 1800 the glacier advanced at least 2–4 km as a response to cooling during the LIA.

In eastern Greenland, Holocene fluctuations of the Bregne ice cap, Scoresby Sund, were reconstructed from mapping, exposure dating ($^{10}$Be) and sediments in a downstream lake (Levy et al., 2014). The exposure ages indicate that the ice cap margin had
retreated close to its present position around 10.7 ka, and the lake sediments suggest that the ice cap had melted away during the early and middle Holocene. The presence of the ice cap is recorded by laminated lake sediments, dated to around 2.6 ka, and from 1.9 ka to the present.

The Holocene fluctuations of the Istedøvde ice cap in Eastern Greenland were reconstructed by Lowell et al. (2013). These results were discussed later on by Miller et al. (2013) claiming a similarity between the records of the last millennium in Greenland and Canadian Arctic.

Previous interpretations of stable isotope records from the Greenland ice sheet (GIS) argued that Holocene climate variability over GIS differed regionally and that the Holocene thermal optimum at ~9.0–6.0 ka was not evident. When the cores were corrected/adjusted for altitudinal variations over the Holocene time span, however, Vintner et al. (2009) demonstrated that a pronounced Holocene thermal optimum was clearly observed in Greenland ice cores, in particular in the Renland ice core, in agreement with other evidence around the ice sheet.

3.5. Iceland

Multi-proxy records document complex changes in terrestrial climate and glacier fluctuations in Iceland during the Holocene (Geirsdóttir et al., 2009 and references therein), indicating both coherent patterns of change as well as significant spatial variability. The Younger Dryas/Preboreal transition was characterized by a series of jökulhlaups. By 10.3 ka, the main ice sheet was retreating rapidly across the Icelandic highlands. The Holocene thermal maximum was reached after 8.0 ka. Land temperatures were estimated to have been ~3 °C higher than the 1961–1990 period, suggesting largely ice-free conditions across Iceland in the early to mid-Holocene (Stötter et al., 1999; Caseldine et al., 2003; Geirsdóttir et al., 2009, 2013).

Studies of marine and lacustrine sediments (Geirsdóttir et al., 2009 and references therein) indicate a substantial summer temperature decline between 8.5 ka and 8.0 ka. According to the lake sediment studies (Lake Hvítavatn, Langjökull ice cap) between 8.7 ka and 7.9 ka the early Holocene warmth was interrupted twice by glacier growth (Larsen et al., 2012). However, so far no moraines have been detected from those times. The initiation of the Neoglacial cooling took place after 5.5–6 ka. The glacier activity increased between 4.5 ka and 4.0 ka, intensifying between 3.0 ka and 2.5 ka (Dugmore, 1989; Dugmore and Sugden, 1991; Gudmundsson, 1997; Stötter et al., 1999; Kirkbride and Dugmore, 2001, 2006; Schomacker et al., 2003; Geirsdóttir et al., 2009; Larsen et al., 2012). The LIA moraines (ca 1250–1900 CE) in many cases represent the most extensive glacier positions since early Holocene deglaciation (e.g. Chenet et al., 2009; Stribeger et al., 2011). Mackintosh et al. (2002) used a glacier model to show that Neoglacial advances including those from the LIA represented atmospheric cooling of 1–2 °C relative to modern, and coincided with periods of increased sea ice incidence around Iceland.

3.6. Svalbard

Skirbekk et al. (2014) studying the Kongsfjorden sediments reported the cooling at 11.3 ka, probably corresponding to the Preboreal Oscillation. Svendsen and Mangerud (1997) demonstrated that in Western Spitsbergen at Linnavatnet there were no glaciers in the catchment from 11.3 ka to 5.0 ka, then the glaciers started to form and these have existed until present. Based on Linnavatnet sediments, they identified the glacial advances at 3.0 ka, 2.4–2.5 ka, 1.4–1.5 ka, and in the LIA with the maximum extent of advances occurring in the 19th century. Later records generally confirm this pattern. Humlum et al. (2005) argued for an ice-free period at Longyearbreen during the first millennium CE and a subsequent advance at ca 1.1 ka. According to Reusche et al. (2014), the Linnébreen retreated at ca 1.6 ka but did not completely disappear before readvancing to its LIA position. Around the same time Longyearbreen and glaciers in Liefdefjorden were also smaller than their LIA extents before 1.8 ka and ca 1.4 ka.

3.7. Scandinavia

Abrupt, decadal to centennial-scale climate variations during the early Holocene caused significant glacier fluctuations in Scandinavia. Karlén (1988) proposed that several glacier advances occurred in Scandinavia (including northern Sweden) at ~8.5–7.9 ka, 7.4–7.2 ka, 6.3–6.1 ka, 5.9–5.8 ka, 5.6–5.3 ka, 5.1–4.8 ka, 4.6–4.2 ka, 3.4–3.2 ka, 3.0–2.8 ka, 2.7–2.0 ka, 1.9–1.6 ka, 1.2–1.0 ka, and 0.7–0.2 ka. However, the evidence for early Holocene glacier advances in northern Sweden has been questioned by more recent, multi-disciplinary lake sediment studies (Rosqvist et al., 2004). Recent research indicates that the most marked early Holocene glacier advances in Scandinavia occurred ~11.2 ka, 10.5 ka, 10.1 ka, 9.7 ka, 9.2 ka and 8.4–8.0 ka (Nesje, 2009). Most of the Norwegian glaciers apparently melted away at least once during the early/mid-Holocene (see Fig. 2).

The period with the most limited glacier extent in Scandinavia was between 6.6 ka and 6.0 ka. The glaciers started to advance after ~6.0 ka and the most extensive glaciers existed at about ~5.6 ka, 4.4 ka, 3.3 ka, 2.3 ka, 1.6 ka, and during the LIA (mid-18th century). Scandinavian glaciers were apparently in a more contracted state around 5.0 ka, 4.0 ka, 3.0 ka, 2.0 ka, and 1.2 ka. Glaciers in northern Sweden probably reached their most extensive LIA positions between the 17th and the beginning of the 18th centuries (Nesje, 2009). The Holocene history of Scandinavian, especially Norwegian, glaciers, is very well covered in recent compilations and overviews (e.g. Matthews et al., 2005; Bakke et al., 2005a,b, 2010, 2013; Matthews and Dresser, 2008; Nesje, 2009 etc.). For details, we redirect our readers to these original publications.

3.8. Russian Arctic

Due to complex logistics, Holocene glaciers fluctuations in the Russian Arctic are very poorly studied (Stievenard et al., 1996; Forman et al., 1999; Lubinsky et al., 1999; Zeerberg, Forman, 2001). The most comprehensive overview of Holocene glacier fluctuations was provided by Lubinsky et al. (1999) who presented the results of 14C dating (45 ages) at the forefields of 16 glaciers in Franz Josef Land.

The radiocarbon ages for organic material at the margins of modern glaciers show that in the early Holocene the glaciers were smaller than now in Franz Josef Land (12.5–10.6 ka) (Lubinsky et al., 1999) and at Severnaya Zemlya (ca 12.0–11.1 ka) (Stievenard et al., 1996; Lubinsky et al., 1999). At Severnaya Zemlya the climate was warmer than today from 11.5 ka to 9.5 ka (Andreev et al., 2008) and probably some ice caps in the region disappeared as well as in other Eurasian Arctic regions (Koerner and Fisher, 2002). In Franz Josef Land the glaciers remained small until at least ca 5 ka. Ice advances occurred before 5.6–5.2 ka, at 2.1–2.0 ka, 1.0 ka, after 0.8 ka, as well as at 1400 CE, 1600 CE, and in the early 20th century (Lubinsky et al., 1999).

In Novaya Zemlya at least three Neoglacial advances in the past 24 ka were mentioned by Forman et al. (1999). The moraine of Shokal’ski Glacier was deposited at ca 1300–1400 CE (Zeeberg and Forman, 2001), while the advance at Russkaya Gavan’ occurred between 1400 and 1600 CE (Polyak et al., 2004).
3.9. North Asia

Climate varies significantly across the North Asian region. The area extends from the Polar circle to 50°N and from 60° to 170°E and is generally characterized by a severe continental climate (except for the Pacific coast). Most mountain ranges (Suntar-Khayata, Cherskogo Range, Orulgan etc.) are relatively low with limited evidence of glaciation except for the Altai and Kamchatka Mts. It is only for these two regions that some numerical ages on Holocene glacier variations are available (Solomina, 1999).

The Altai Mountains are located at the margins of the Central Asian mountain system in the continental temperature climate. In the early Holocene, from at least 10.4 ka (Nazarov et al., 2012), the glaciers were probably smaller than they are at present. Although there is no direct evidence of glacier status in the early Holocene, the paleoclimatic reconstructions based on stratigraphic evidence (Butvilovsky, 1993) and the 14C dating of wood macrofossils above the modern tree line (Agatova et al., 2012) provide evidence that the climate was generally warmer than today between 10.4 ka and 5.0 ka. Based on the ice core evidence in the Mongolian Altai, Herren et al. (2013) suggested that there were ice-free conditions until 6 ka at that site.

The LIA evidence for glacial advances in the Russian Altai date back to 4.9–4.2 ka (the most extensive Holocene advance). Possibly glaciers also advanced at 3.7–3.3 ka, but the dates of both advances (4.9–4.2 ka and 3.7–3.3 ka) are still rather tentative (Agatova et al., 2012). The advances at 2.3–1.7 ka and in the 13th, 15th–16th, and 17th–19th centuries CE are more accurately dated due to extensive wood macrofossil collections in the glacier forefronts. Warm episodes and periods of glacier retreat date back to 3.3–2.3 ka and 1.7–0.8 ka (Agatova et al., 2012).

The Kamchatka Peninsula is located at the rim of the continent and its maritime climate is under the influence of the Pacific Ocean circulation. The largest glaciers are situated in the high volcanic influence of active volcanism. The earliest and most extensive advances likely occurred sometime prior to ca 6.8ka, however, some moraines may be much older. In the late Holocene numerous moraines were deposited, though they are still very poorly dated by tephrachronology and lichenometry. The LIA advances likely occurred between ca 1350 and 1850 CE with the most numerous 19th century moraines in the vicinity of the present glacier fronts (Barr and Solomina, 2014).

3.10. Central Europe

During the Younger Dryas Egesen stadial at the end of the Alpine Lateglacial, glaciers advanced markedly and oscillated for at least a thousand years at the expanded position (Ivy-Ochs et al., 2009 and references therein). This is shown by 10Be data from numerous sites with nested moraines including Piano del Praiet (northwestern Italy; Federici et al., 2008); Grosser Aletschgletscher (Kelly et al., 2004), Belalp (Schindelwigg et al., 2012) (both in central Switzerland); Julier Pass (eastern Switzerland; Ivy-Ochs et al., 1996); and Val Viola (northeastern Italy Hormes et al., 2008). 10Be results suggest that glaciers were in an expanded position into the earliest Holocene. Depending on the local topo-climatic conditions the moraines dated to this time period occur as either the innermost moraine of the Egesen stadial series, for example at Belalp (side valley to the Grosser Aletsch glacier; Schindelwigg et al., 2012), or are found upvalley yet external to the maximum Holocene positions (LIA extent). Examples of the latter case are the Kartel site in the western Austrian Alps (Ivy-Ochs et al., 2009) and the Tsjiore Glacier in western Switzerland. The continuing cold but possibly drier conditions are shown by rock glacier activity, often in the recently ice-free Egesen tongue regions, during this time interval (Ivy-Ochs et al., 2009). By 10.5 ka glaciers had shrunk to a size smaller than their late 20th century size.

Tree remains recently found in front of the Mont Miné Glacier allowed placing of a glacier advance at ca 8175 yr before CE 2000. This is unequivocal evidence of advance in the Alps at 8.2 ka, although the size of the glaciers was smaller than the LIA extent (Nicolussi and Schlüchter, 2012). A nearly millennial-long retreat period, with the Mont Miné glacier always shorter than today, preceded this advance. A significant glacier advance at ca 8.2 ka was also suggested by speleothems from Milchbach cave at the Upper Grindelwald glacier, Switzerland (Luetscher et al., 2011). These high-resolution records brought evidence of 21 periods of glacier retreat between 9.2 ka and 5.8 ka and a glacier advance between 4.8 ka and 4.6 ka, as well as short-lived advances between ca 7.7 ka and 6.8 ka and moderate glacier retreat between 5.2 ka and 4.9 ka. Glacier extents during these advances were somewhat smaller than during the late Holocene, and were thus obliterated. After 3.8 ka, the Upper Grindelwald glacier experienced only rare retreat phases. Moraines were shown with 10Be ages to have formed during Bronze Age advances (between around 3.3 ka and 2.8 ka) are also recorded at the Tsjoire Nuove glacier and Stein glaciers (eastern Switzerland; Schimmelpfennig et al., 2014) based on 10Be data. Radiocarbon ages show the earlier large glaciers (for example Grosser Aletschgletscher; Holzhauser et al., 2005) advanced markedly at 3.0–2.6 ka, around 600 CE and during the LIA (Ivy-Ochs et al., 2009).

Lake sediment studies (Lake Blanc Huez, Western Alps) by Simonneau et al. (2014) record reduced glacier activity between 9.7 ka and 5.4 ka in the Alps. At that site, the transition to the Neoglaciation was documented from 5.4 ka to 4.7 ka. After ca 4.7 ka glaciers remained present in the catchment. Similar results with glacier expansions beginning as early as about 4.5 ka were obtained at the Miage glacier amphitheater and Ruitor glaciers, both in the Western Alps (Deline and Orombelli, 2005). Reduced glacier activities are dated from the Early Bronze Age (ca 3.9–3.8 ka), the Iron Age (ca 2.2–2.1 ka), the Roman Period (ca 115–330 CE) and the Medieval Warm Period (ca 760–1160 CE) (Simonneau et al., 2014).

As shown by detailed studies at the Grosser Aletschgletscher and Gornergletscher, maximum LIA ice extents in the Swiss Alps were reached in the 14th, 17th and 19th centuries (Holzhauser et al., 2005). Glacier response patterns were similar in the Austrian sector (Nicolussi and Patzelt, 2000). Most Italian glaciers and also many glaciers in the Western Alps reached their LIA maximum extents around 1820 CE and readvanced to almost this extent in 1850 CE.

Between about 10.5 ka and 3.3 ka conditions in the Alps were not conducive to significant glacier expansion except possibly during rare brief intervals when small glaciers (less than several km²) may have advanced to close to their LIA dimensions. Onset of Neoglacialisation at some sites is indicated as early as 5 ka with dominantly glacier friendly conditions after around 3.3 ka persisting until the end of the LIA.

3.11. Caucasus and Middle East

Data on Holocene moraines in the Middle East and Caucasus are still very scarce. In the Caucasus lichenometry and four 14C ages for moraines are available in the Bezenji valley where the advances are broadly dated at before 10.3–8.5 ka, between 10.3–8.5 ka and 8.3–6.2 ka, ca 5.0–4.5 ka, 2.9–2.8 ka and after 0.7–0.5 ka (Serебряны et al., 1984; Solomina, 1996). Buried soil horizons are identified in the moraines, avalanche cones, and slope deposits at high elevations probably indicating a warmer climate and glacier retreat at 1.4–1.3 ka and 0.4–0.3 ka (Solomina et al., 2013).
TCN (10Be, 26Al, 36Cl) dating methods were applied recently to date the moraines in the mountains of the Middle East (Zreda et al., 2006; Akar et al., 2007; Sarikaya et al., 2009; Zahno et al., 2010). In most regions of Turkey only the Late Glacial moraines are preserved, and those that were previously dated as early Holocene were also probably deposited earlier during the Late Glacial (Zreda et al., 2006). The exposure ages of seven moraines in the Taurus Mountains of south-central Turkey, range from $10.2 \pm 0.2$ ka to $8.6 \pm 0.3$ ka (Zreda et al., 2011).

3.12. Central Asia

Zhou et al. (1991), Yi et al. (2008), Owen (2011) and Owen and Dortch (2014) provide summaries of Holocene glacier fluctuations in Central Asia, focusing mainly on the Himalaya and Tibet. These reviews highlight the paucity of comprehensive studies of Holocene glaciation in the region despite abundant well-preserved successions of moraines throughout the region.

Most recent studies have focused on 10Be dating of moraine successions (see Owen, 2011; Owen and Dortch, 2014 for further discussions). However, earlier studies utilized radiocarbon dating. Of particular note is the work of Röthlisberger and Geyh (1985a,b) who studied glaciers in Pakistan, India and Nepal and defined glaciers advanced to $-8.3$ ka, $5.4-5.1$ ka, $4.2-3.3$ ka, and $2.7-2.2$ ka with relatively small extensions at $2.6-2.4$ ka, $1.7-1.4$ ka, $1.3-0.9$ ka, $0.8-0.55$ ka and $0.5-0.1$ ka. In addition, Zhou et al. (1991) showed that Holocene continental glaciers in northwest China advanced at $-9.3$ ka, $6.4$ ka, $4.5$ ka, and $0.5$ ka, whereas maritime-influenced glaciers in southeastern Tibet advanced at $3.1$ ka, $1.9$ ka, $0.9$ ka, and $0.3$ ka, arguing for a 2500-year cyclic glacier cycle at $-9.3$ ka, $6.4$ ka, $3.2$ ka and $0.3$ ka, and a $-1000$-year cyclic glacier cycle at $3.2$ ka, $1.9$ ka, $0.9$ ka, and $0.3$ ka.

Yi et al. (2008) compiled 53 radiocarbon ages for Holocene glacial advances in Tibet, which was later supplemented by Owen and Dortch (2014) who included the extensive radiocarbon dating of Röthlisberger and Geyh (1985a,b). Yi et al. (2008) argued that glaciers advanced at $9.4-8.8$ ka, $3.5-1.4$ ka, and $1.0-1.03$ ka in Tibet, suggesting synchronism with cooling periods identified in the 818O record of ice cores. Owen and Dortch (2014) suggested that the distribution of radiocarbon ages and hence moraine ages are similar in frequency to those of the Holocene rapid climate changes of Mayewski et al. (2004). However, Owen and Dortch (2014) were careful to highlight that this similarity relies on the assumption that glaciations dated by radiocarbon methods are synchronous throughout the Himalayan–Tibetan orogen.

Recent studies by Dortch et al. (2013), Murari et al. (2014) and Owen and Dortch (2014) have utilized the 10Be TCN dating and have shown a complex pattern with some regions having evidence for glacial advances at times when others show no advance. Nevertheless, the frequency of glacial advances is similar to the millennial timescale oscillations of Holocene rapid climate changes that were highlighted by Bond et al. (2001) and Mayewski et al. (2004). This is most evident in Mustag Ata and Kongur Shan where glaciers advanced at $-11.2$ ka, $10.2$ ka, $8.4$ ka, $6.7$ ka, $4.2$ ka, $3.3$ ka, $1.4$ ka, and a few hundred years before the present. Seong et al. (2009b) and Owen (2005) suggested that these glacial advances were synchronous with periods of Holocene rapid climate change in the North Atlantic, which were teleconnected via mid-latitude westerlies to Central Asia to force glaciation. The most extensive glacial advances in the Himalaya region generally occurred during the early Holocene, between $-11.5$ ka and $-8.0$ ka (Sharma and Owen, 1996; Phillips et al., 2000; Richards et al., 2000a,b; Owen et al., 2001, 2002a,b, 2003a,b, 2005, 2006a,b, 2009a,b, 2010, 2012; Finkel et al., 2003; Zech et al., 2003, 2005; Spencer and Owen, 2004; Barnard et al., 2004a,b; Abramowski et al., 2006; Gayer et al., 2006; Jiao and Shen, 2006; Seong et al., 2007, 2009b; Meyer et al., 2009; Chevalier et al., 2011; Murari et al., 2014). However, the extent of glaciation and ELA depressions during the early Holocene varies considerably between regions (Owen and Benn, 2005; Owen and Dortch, 2014).

Mid-Holocene ($-8.0$ to $-3.0$ ka, Hypsithermal) moraines have been dated in several regions, mainly comprising latero-frontal moraine complexes (Owen, 2009; Owen and Dortch, 2014). As Owen and Dortch (2014) point out, many studies have attributed sharp-crested, well-preserved moraines within a kilometer of the present glacier margin to the period of Neoglacialization (e.g. Fushimi, 1978; Zheng, 1997; Richards et al., 2000a,b; Finkel et al., 2003; Owen et al., 2005; Jiao and Shen, 2006; Meyer et al., 2009; Seong et al., 2009a,b). Many of these moraines are not well dated, however, and the extensive early Holocene advance moraines could be easily misinterpreted as Neoglacial in age, and the Neoglacial may also be diachronous throughout the region (Owen and Dortch, 2014).

Dortch et al. (2013) recognized three regional glacial advances during the latter part of the Holocene in the semi-arid western end of the Himalayan–Tibetan orogen at $3.7$ ka, $1.6$ ka and $0.4$ ka, whereas, Murari et al. (2014) recognized five regional glacial stages for monsoon-influenced regions at $3.5$ ka, $2.3$ ka, $1.5$ ka, $0.7$ ka and $0.4$ ka.

In most areas of the Himalayan–Tibetan orogen, glaciers began to retreat after the LIA at the beginning of the 20th Century and have continued to retreat since. However in some regions, some glaciers have begun to stabilize and/or advance during the past few years (Copland et al., 2011; National Research Council, 2012; Owen and Dortch, 2014).

3.13. Low latitudes

Roddell et al. (2009) in his comprehensive review of Holocene glacier fluctuations in the tropical Andes highlighted several glacial advance periods during the Holocene with apparent strong regional variability. During the last decade several studies have focused on 10Be TCN dating of moraines in the low latitudes of the Andes (Farber et al., 2005; Smith et al., 2005; Zech et al., 2007; Bromley et al., 2009, 2011; Glasser et al., 2009; Hall et al., 2009; Licciardi et al., 2009; Zech et al., 2009; Jamelli et al., 2011; Smith et al., 2011; Carcaill et al., 2014). However, the dating uncertainty of these glacier fluctuations was high because 10Be chronologies were affected by large uncertainties (>10%) associated with cosmogenic production rates. Indeed different scaling schemes using different sea level, high latitude (SLHL) 10Be production rates were considered in establishing these chronologies. Jamelli et al. (2014) compiled all 10Be and 3He moraine ages across the tropical Andes and reassessed the ages using a local production rate (Blard et al., 2013 a,b; Kelly et al., 2013). A synthesis of these updated chronologies is based on 20 glaciers (18 of them located in the southern tropical Andes and 2 others in the northern tropics) (Jamelli et al., 2014). This reassessment indicates that glaciers in the low latitudes in the Andes were at their maximum Holocene extent in the early Holocene (at 11.8–11.0 ka and ca 10.9 ka). Only one moraine in Bolivia was dated to 5.2 ka (Smith et al., 2011). However evidence for a mid-Holocene ice advance comes also from radiocarbon ages reported in Paccanta Valley (southern Peru), where peat directly underlies till; the age of the peat dated to $5.1$ ka and for the mid-Holocene ice cap (Peru), remains of plants dated to the mid Holocene suggest that glaciers
were probably smaller than their LIA extent (Thompson et al., 2006). GCMs simulation of glacier sizes in Bolivia and in Colombia, led to the same conclusions (Jomelli et al., 2011, 2014).

Glacier ice returned to the watersheds of Paco Cocha around 4.8 ka at Lago Taypi Chaka Kkota and Viscachani — after 2.3 ka. Radiocarbon ages from different glaciated valleys in the tropical Andes also document evidence for multiple Neoglacial ice advances that pre-date the LIA. In Ecuador the advance of El Altar glacier occurred at 2.2 ka (Clapperton, 1986). Röthlisberger (1986) reported radiocarbon ages on Glaciar Huallcacocha in Northern Peru that yielded a maximum age for the advance of 1.5 ka and during the last millennium. Radiocarbon ages in the Queclayca/Vilcanota region in southern Peru (Merrcer and Palacios, 1977; Goodman et al., 2001; Mark et al., 2002) imply that between the Neoglacial advances there were periods of glacier contractions (e.g. between 3 ka and 1.5 ka, when glaciers were smaller than their LIA extents). Lichenometric studies in Peru and Bolivia revealed glacial advances at 17–19th centuries (Jomelli et al., 2008).

The African data on Holocene glacier oscillations is extremely poor. Based on lake sediments from Mt Kenya, Karlen et al. (1999) identified glacier advances at ca 5.7 ka, 4.5–3.9 ka, 3.5–3.3 ka, 3.2–2.3 ka, 1.3–1.2 ka, 0.6–0.4 ka. The 14C ages from the bottom of the Kilimanjaro lake show the ice began to retreat at 11.7 ka and it expanded during the African Humid Period. Later on the ice receded at ca 4 ka, but did not disappear completely (Thompson et al., 2002). However Kaser et al. (2010) suggested a shorter, discontinuous history of the glaciers at Kilimanjaro with hiatus in the ice core records.

3.14. Southern Andes

Earlier scholars believed that glaciers in Patagonia were smaller than now from the early Holocene to the beginning of the Neoglaciation (Merrick, 1970, 1976; Aniya, 1995, 1996; Glasser and Harrison, 2004), but recent studies also revealed some early Holocene advances. Glasser et al. (2012) dated the advances east of the Northern Patagonian Icefield at 11.0–11.2 ka, 11.5 ka, 11.7 ka and 12.8 ka using the Putnam et al. (2010) SH production rates and Dunai scaling scheme.

Douglass et al. (2005) dated (with TCN technique) two advances at ca 8.5 ka and 6.2 ka in the valley of Rio Avilés. However, they used the global production rates and scaling factors by Stone (2000), therefore the ages can be somewhat different after the recalibration with the local rate of production. The EIA during these advances was 300 m lower than now (i.e., the climate was 2.4 °C cooler or 1000 mm/yr wetter than present). Röthlisberger (1986) identified a maximum age of a moraine at ca 9.4 ka, and Wenzens (1999) dated two moraines between ca 10.9 and 8.2 ka, and 10.9 and 9.5 ka in the Rio Guano drainage. In Tierra del Fuego, glaciers advanced between 8.0 and 5.3 ka and after 5.3 ka. These advances were only tens of meters beyond their LIA limits. No earlier moraines are found in Tierra del Fuego (Menounos et al., 2013). Harrison et al. (2012) dated the Témpanos moraines using OSL methods to 9.7–9.3, 7.7 and 5.7 ka, but warned that the advances in this region are most probably not always simply climate-driven.

Aniya (2013) in his most recent review identifies five periods of Neoglacial advances at between 5.3–5.0 and 4.3–4.5 ka, between 3.9–3.7 ka and 3.6–3.4 ka, between 2.8–2.7 ka and 2.0–1.9 ka, between 1.5–1.4 ka and 0.8–0.7 ka and at 17–19th centuries (LIA). In addition, he suggests two earlier Holocene glaciations at between 6.3–6.4 and 5.7–5.6 ka and between 9.0–8.8 and 7.6 ka (or 8.3–8.2 ka) and two older, less certain phases of glacial activity at 9.9–9.6 – 9.5 ka and 11.2–10.9 – 10.2 ka.

3.15. New Zealand

Gellatly et al. (1988) summarized the results of radiocarbon dating of fossil wood and soil within the lateral moraines and identified glacier advances at ca 9.0–8.7 ka, 5.7–5.7 ka, 5.3–4.6 ka, 4.1–4.0 ka, 3.8–3.1 ka, 2.8–2.1 ka, 1.8–1.5 ka, 1.4–1.3 ka, 1.0–0.96 ka, 0.91–0.76 ka, 0.67–0.5 ka and 0.4–0.1 ka. More recent Be ages (Schaefer et al., 2009; Kaplan et al., 2010, 2013; Putnam et al., 2012) generally agree with these results. According to Kaplan et al. (2010, 2013), glaciers on the Ben Ohau Range retreated overall between 13.0 ka and the present, pausing or readvancing between 11.5 ka and 11.1 ka, and at 8.1 ka, 1.7 ka, and 0.54 ka. Putnam et al. (2012) reported a more comprehensive set of Holocene TCN ages for the early Holocene from Cameron Glacier, with the largest advances dated to 10.7 ka, and 9.8 ka, and progressively smaller advances at 9.1 ka, 8.7 ka, 8.2 ka, 7.2 ka, 6.9 ka, 6.5 ka, 0.52 ka and 0.18 ka. Schaefer et al. (2009) identified more than 15 advances of Mueller, Hooker and Tasman glaciers in the last 7 ka, including at least five events during the last millennium: 6.5 ka, 3.6 to 3.2 ka, 2.3 ka, 2.0–1.6 ka (at least three events), 1.4 ka, 1.0 ka, 0.9 ka, 0.6 ka, 0.4 ka (at least two events) and 0.27–0.11 ka. Unlike most regions in the Northern Hemisphere (NH), the largest advances of glaciers in New Zealand occurred in the early Holocene, whereas the LIA advances were more restricted.

3.16. Sub-Antarctic Islands

The sub-Antarctic islands, located between 35°S and 70°S, include both heavily glaciated and ice free islands. Most research has focused on identifying the limit of the Last Glacial Maximum, the onset of deglaciation and documenting glacier recession during the instrumental period (Hodgson et al., 2014a). Chronological constraints on Holocene glacier fluctuations are largely limited to South Georgia, and Iles Kerguelen whilst on other islands there is little or no chronological control until the instrumental period. On South Georgia the oldest TCN ages range between 14.1 ka and 10.6 ka, mean 12.1 ± 1.4 ka (Table S2) and mark the oldest mapped ice advance, and possibly the Last Glacial Maximum (Bentley et al., 2007; Hodgson et al., 2014b). Two readvances to this limit (and in some areas overriding it) are recorded. The first with a weighted mean of ca 3.6 ± 1.1 ka during a mid-Holocene warm period, and the second estimated at ca 1.1 ka using soil development as a dating proxy. A late Holocene glacier advance (post ca 1870 CE) has also been inferred from lichenometric data (Roberts et al., 2010).

On Iles Kerguelen, peat deposits in the Baie d’Ampère provide minimum radiocarbon ages for deglaciation between 13.2 ka and 11.2 ka, and at least two glacial readvances after 0.50–5.2 ka and 2.2–0.7 ka (Frenot et al., 1997b; Hodgson et al., 2014a).

All glaciated sub-Antarctic islands have seen a rapid retreat of glaciers during the last 60 years coinciding with regional warming and a strengthening of the southern hemisphere westerly winds. On South Georgia 97% of glaciers are in retreat (Cook et al., 2010), on Kerguelen total ice extent declined from 703 to 552 km² between 1963 and 2001 (Berthier et al., 2009); and on Marion Island the last remnants of the Holocene ice cap had largely disappeared by the late 1990s (Sumner et al., 2004).

3.17. Antarctic peninsula and Maritime Antarctic Islands

Post LGM deglaciation in this region has recently been reviewed by Hall (2009), Ó Cofaigh et al. (2014) and Hodgson et al. (2014a). In general ice commenced thinning from LGM limits after 18 ka and continued during the early Holocene. This retreat was punctuated by still stands and minor glacier readvances, marked by submarine geomorphological features such as grounding zone wedges (Ó
Cofaigh et al., 2014). Sea floor topography and pinning points resulted in many of the inner fjords and coastal areas being deglaciated after the mid-Holocene (O Cofaigh et al., 2014).

Low sampling densities, indirect proxies, and poorly resolved chronologies (particularly in the marine environment) mean that a fully coherent regional pattern of Holocene glacier fluctuations has yet to emerge. For example at Anvers Island, reduced ice extents derived from re-exposed mosses at 0.70–0.79 ka (Hall et al., 2010) contrast with results from an adjacent peninsula showing ice recession at 0.45–0.6 ka (Smith, 1982).

At James Ross Island recent syntheses suggest one glacier readvanced after 6.1 ka until after 4 ka (Davies et al., 2013) and another local advance was inferred between 1 and 0.7 ka (Carrivick et al., 2012) at the same time as cooler conditions were recorded in a local ice core (Mulvaney et al., 2012).

On King George Island minor tributary fjords of Maxwell Bay were reoccupied by a glacial advance ca 1.56 ka (Yoon et al., 2004) coincident with a warm, humid phase. In a marine core off Anvers Island Domack (2002) reports a Neoglacial interval from 3.36 ka involving climate cooling with more persistent sea ice, but this is not specifically linked to a glacial advance. In Neum Fjord sand-rich intervals in oven ~2.5 ka may reflect a modest glacier advance (Allen et al., 2010). In Lallemand Fjord a minor advance of glaciers supplying the Müller Ice Shelf is inferred around ca 0.4 ka (Domack et al., 1995).

A number of Antarctic Peninsula ice shelves have also experienced major fluctuations during the Holocene. George VI Ice Shelf was absent between 9.6 ka and 7.7 ka (Smith et al., 2007), Prince Gustav Ice Shelf was absent between 6.8 and 1.8 ka (O Cofaigh et al., 2014), the Larsen A Ice Shelf was absent at 3.8–1.4 ka (Brachfeld et al., 2003; Balco et al., 2013). In contrast Larsen B and Larsen C Ice Shelves existed throughout the Holocene (Domack et al., 2005; Curry and Pudsey, 2007).

The rather disparate record of late Holocene glacier fluctuations is in marked contrast to the instrumental record of the last 50 years which has seen near synchronous retreat of tidewater glaciers (Cook et al., 2005) surface lowering (Pritchard et al., 2009), and the retreat or collapse of 28,000 km² of regional ice shelves (Cook and Vaughan, 2010). These have been linked to rapid regional warming (Convey et al., 2009; Hodgson, 2011; Barrand et al., 2013; Turner et al., 2013) and accelerated basal melting beneath ice shelves (Holland, 2010).

4. Discussion

Glaciers are sensitive to climate change and the near-global ongoing retreat is frequently cited as evidence of contemporary warming. However, the dating of glacier histories and their interpretation with respect to climate is complex and uncertainty in interpreting the glacier record remains.

4.1. Strength and limitations of glacier variations as indicators of past climate change

Reconstructed glacier histories are by their nature forced by a composite of climate inputs and thus are difficult to quantify and assess. Therefore, it is not trivial to use time series of glacier fluctuations as paleoclimatic proxies, to determine relevant climate forcings, and to construct climate-glacial models.

Furthermore, glacier fluctuation time series in general are often discontinuous and have gaps, both in recording advances (overlapped and eroded moraines) and retreats (evidence erased or hidden under the modern glaciers). Their accuracy and resolution can differ over time, with some moraines more accurately dated than others. The resolution of glacial chronologies is variable and depends on the dating methods applied. In rare cases they can reach decadal (e.g. Holzhauser et al., 2005; Ivy-Ochs et al., 2009; Barclay et al., 2009a,b) or even annual resolution (e.g. Beedle et al., 2009). Chronologies with such high resolution are unique, are normally rather short, spanning the last one to two millennia and are concentrated in a few regions, mostly in the Alps and Scandinavia. Most commonly, the resolution of reconstructions of glacier variations during the Holocene remains at the centennial or multcentennial resolution. The coarse temporal resolution of glacial records is thus difficult to compare with the higher resolution continuous proxies (Yang et al., 2008; Holzhauser et al., 2005; Wiles et al., 2007; Nussbaumer and Zumbühl, 2012).

Ages of moraines are often compositeted from a variety of glaciers that are of different sizes, shapes and types, and located at various elevations (see review in Kirkbride and Winkler, 2012). Thus individual glaciers may not respond uniformly to a different set of climate forcings. The response time of a glacier in relation to the climate signals triggering these events depend on glacier geometry and climatic setting, and is typically in the range of years to decades for mountain glaciers (Oerlemans, 2005) (see also Supplementary Materials). Additional adjustments are necessary to link the proxy for glacier oscillations (the 14C ages for soil, peat, lake sediments, wood etc.) may also have their own “response time”, with the glacier variations. As the uncertainty of the dating normally does not exceed the delay in glacier front reaction to the climatic forcing, we do not make any adjustments in this respect in this paper and accept that this bias is negligible relative to the resolution of the chronology.

In their comprehensive review, Kirkbride and Winkler (2012) warned that, despite the significant progress and improvements in dating techniques, several basic conceptual issues in the correlation of Holocene glacier oscillations remain unresolved. They argue that “to enable reliable comparisons, glacier chronologies should first be examined for their climatic integrity, spatial coherence, and chronological robustness. Even with excellent dating, uncertainty remains due to complex climate signals and glacier response, and to erosion censoring the glacier fluctuations record”.

We agree with their conclusion that the assessment of global patterns in Holocene glacier variations is still extremely difficult. However, the great improvements in chronological techniques, the number of different independent methods available to reconstruct the glacier variations allowing for rigorous crosschecking, and higher resolution climate proxies and forcing records to compare with glacier histories, all increase the credibility of the reconstructions. Evidence from retreating glaciers allows a comparison of the scale of modern glacier retreat with the earlier Holocene glacier extent. Even very general information on the Holocene glacier sizes, such as glaciers “generally contracted” or “generally advanced” can be useful as an independent control on long-term climatic trends in relation to modern climatic changes.

4.2. Long-term signal in Holocene glacier variations

Despite strong variability among periods of glacier advance and retreat during the Holocene, a long-term pattern in these records is evident (Figs. 2 and 4). There is a general similarity between millennial trends of glacier fluctuations in the high and mid latitudes of the NH in Greenland, Scandinavia, Alaska, Cordillera of Western Canada, Russian Arctic, the Alps, Tien Shan and Altai, although the details of these records may differ. Glaciers retreated to the modern sizes and the upper tree line rose above the modern one between 11 ka and 10 ka in the Alps and in the Cordillera of the western Canada. By the next millennium (between 10 ka and 9 ka) glaciers retreated in Scandinavia. In Greenland it took even longer
for the ice to respond, but the glaciers in many regions here also eventually reached the modern sizes by ca 8 ka.

In most regions of the high to mid latitudes of the NH considered here, glaciers were smaller than now or at least equal to their modern sizes between ca 8 ka and ca 4 ka (Fig. 4). The tree line in the Swedish Scandes was up to 500 m higher than today (Oeser and Kullman, 2011) (Fig. 5). In the Altai, wood macrofossils from this period were found up to 250 m above the tree line (Nazarov et al., 2012), in the European Alps ( Nicolussi and Patzelt, 2000; Hornes et al., 2001; Joerin et al., 2006, 2008; Ivy-Ochs et al., 2009) and in the Rocky Mountains (Osborn and Luckman, 1988) up to 150 m, and in the mountains of California up to 120 m (Salzer et al., 2014). These data show that the temperature in these regions was generally warmer than in the pre-industrial period by 1.1° to >4 °C.

The pronounced long-term orbitally-driven cooling trend forced the upper tree line to descend and the glaciers to advance to ca 4 ka in these regions, although there is evidence of glacier advances of moderate magnitude from ca 6 ka onwards. The Neoglacials advances after roughly 4 ka were numerous and many of them reached the LIA magnitude prior to the last millennium. It is not yet quite clear why the smooth changes in orbital forcings resulted in a complex interplay and led to numerous large glacier advances around that time. Some other than orbital mechanisms triggering these changes (e.g. the Arctic amplification including sea ice expansion in the NH; Miller et al., 2013) were probably contributing.

The retreats between the Neoglacials advances were in most cases moderate and the retreated glaciers did not reach the modern limits, although some exceptions of very pronounced retreats are recorded in the Alps (Holzhauser et al., 2005), and in the Altai (Nazarov et al., 2012) between 3 ka and 2 ka, in Scandinavia in the first millennium CE (Nesje et al., 2011), and between ca 3 ka and ca 1.5 ka in Nepal ( Gayer et al., 2006). The magnitude of the advances tends to increase over time and in some areas (Western Canada, Spitsbergen, Greenland) the largest advances occurred in the middle or to the end of the 19th century, or even later, in the early 20th century, as one might expect if orbital forcing was the only factor driving glacier fluctuations.

From 8 ka to the end of the preindustrial period the long term fluctuations of glaciers and upper (and northern) tree lines in the NH generally agree with the regional orbital forcings, although higher frequency variations are superimposed on these trends. The multicentury advances during the early Holocene are likely triggered by sudden cooling effects forced by the input of freshwater mainly from the melting Laurentide ice sheet, and the subsequent slowing down of the Atlantic thermohaline circulation (Nesje et al., 2004; Alley and Agustsdottir, 2005).

The pattern of glacier variations in the arid northern regions of the Central Asia is generally similar to those of the high and mid latitudes of the NH described above, with the early glacier retreat and contracted glaciers during the first half of the Holocene (e.g. Solomina, 1999; Dortch et al., 2013). However, the long-term trend of glacier fluctuations in the Asian monsoon area is different.

Glaciers in these regions remained relatively large during the early Holocene, but they experienced a long-term trend of gradual reduction until ca 3 ka. The advances of the last two millennia in the monsoon areas of Central Asia were generally smaller than those of the early and mid Holocene in contrast to many other regions in the NH (see above). Thus the general decreasing glacier size over the Holocene is in contrast to orbital forcing in the NH summer which would favor increasing glacier size over this period (Seong et al., 2009b). It was suggested (e.g. Owen et al., 2003; Owen and Dortch, 2014) that the large early Holocene advances in the southern Himalaya might be a result of the decrease in monsoonal precipitation through the Holocene (Wang et al., 2005), since this was a time of increased insolation and enhanced monsoonal influence, although it was also suggested that the advances could relate to early Holocene NH cooling events. The models however show that this decrease may account for less than 30% of the total ELA changes and precipitation cannot be the only reason for a large advance recorded in this region at 9 ka (Rupper et al., 2009). These authors show that the lowering of ELAs in the southern Himalaya in the early Holocene is largely due to a decrease in summer temperatures, which is a dynamic response to the changes in solar insolation, resulting in both a decrease in incoming shortwave radiation and the surface due to the increase in cloud cover and increase in evaporative cooling. However, models show a rise in ELAs in the western and northern zones of Central Asia in response to a general increase in summer temperatures in the early Holocene. This increase in temperatures in the more northern regions is a direct radiative response to the increase in summer solar insolation in the dry continental interior (Rupper et al., 2009).

The data on glacier variations in the tropics is sparse and of coarser resolution. Jomelli et al. (2011, 2014) showed that the general pattern of glacier fluctuations in the tropical Andes identified by moraine positions and modeling of glacier sizes (largest glaciers in the beginning of the Holocene) (ca 10.9 ka), sporadic advances of moderate amplitude in the middle of the Holocene and small LIA advances (0.4–0.1 ka) agree with the orbital forcings (see Fig. 4). However the sediment properties of glacier-fed lakes (stacked records of 13 lakes) (Rodbell et al., 2008) (see Fig. 4) show the pattern which is almost opposite to the orbital trend, but is very similar to the trend of glacier variability in the mid latitudes of South of South America also identified by lake sediments data (see Fig. 4). Neoglacial advances starting at ca 5 ka has a lot in common with the NH pattern described above, although the gradual decrease of magnitude of Neoglacial advances in the tropics is in agreement with the orbital trend of the low latitudes. These contradictions are not fully resolved and require more data and modeling experiments. Several competing patterns likely played an important role, mainly at the multi-centennial time scale.

The pattern of Holocene glacier variations in Patagonia is quite different from that expected from the orbital signal (Bertrand et al., 2012; Menounous et al., 2013). Precipitation variability has been suggested to be the main driver of Neoglacial fluctuations of the Gualas glacier, an outlet of the Northern Patagonian Icefield

---

**Fig. 4.** Glacier front fluctuations and (or) ELA variations in comparison with the regional orbital signal (Insolation in June in the NH and in December in the SH) (Berger, 1978). 1. Southern Scandinavia. Reconstructed equilibrium-line altitude from Lesnangbroene in Lyngen (Matthews et al., 2000, 2005; Lie et al., 2004; Bakke et al., 2008; Nesje, 2000). 2. Western Canada. a — Generalized activity of Holocene glaciers in western Canada ( Luckman, 1986; Osborn and Luckman, 1988; Reasoner et al., 2001; Koch et al., 2004; Menounous et al., 2004; Clague et al., 2009), b — Lacustrine record (the first principal component (PC1) of loss-on-ignition data, an index for the clastic content of lake sediments, for cores from Green, lower Joffre, Diamond, and Red Barrel lakes (Menounous et al., 2009). 3. The Alps. Summary of glacier variations (Ivy-Ochs et al., 2009). 4. Central Asia. a — A time/space diagram of the position of glacier front in Ganesh Himal Central Nepal as defined by exposure of ice-scoured rocks on the valley bottom and of a few frontal moraines based on cosmogenic nuclide (9Be and 10Be) and 14C dating (Gayer et al., 2006), b — Smoothed total organic carbon (TOC) of the Lake Ximencuo core — indirect evidence of the Nianbaoyeze glacier fluctuations (eastern Tibetan Plateau) (Zhang and Mischi, 2005). 5. Tropical Andes. a — Moraine ages based on 246 10Be surface exposure ages from 19 glaciers in the Southern tropical Andes (Jomelli et al., 2014), b — Composite “stacked” record of clastic sediment flux to 13 alpine lakes in Peru, Ecuador, and Bolivia ( Rodbell et al., 2008). 6. South of South America. a — Glacier advances in Patagonia (Mercer, 1976, 1982; Aniya, 1995, 1996). b — Glacier extent in the Southernmost Tierra del Fuego (Sternl et al., 2008; Maurer et al., 2012; Menounous et al., 2013), c — Magnetic susceptibility record of sediment core at Gualas glacier, Northern Patagonian Icefield (Bertrand et al., 2012). 10. New Zealand. Southern Alps composite snowlines, Cameron, Aoraki/Mount Cook glaciers (Putnam et al., 2012).
changes associated with this Holocene climate variability (Anderson and Mackintosh, 2006). Advanced glacier positions during the Little Ice Age similarly represent atmospheric circulation forcing, in this case, increased southerly and westerly winds which bring cooler temperatures and higher precipitation to the Southern Alps (Lorrey et al., 2013).

In the Sub-Antarctic and Antarctic Peninsula terrestrial glacier fluctuations result from changes in net accumulation (precipitation vs. evaporation and sublimation) and the role of wind driven sublimation appears dominant in some regions. Glaciers appear to have responded most actively (both advancing and retreating depending on their regional setting) during a mid-late Holocene warm period (4.5–1.4 ka, Bentley et al., 2009; Hodgson and Convey, 2005). This may be related to the increased meltwater flux reported on the west Antarctic Peninsula margin 3.6 ka to 0.3 ka (Pike et al., 2013). Some minor Neoglacial advances have been recorded in the last few centuries but the chronological data are not regionally coherent. In some regions glaciers appear to have advanced during warm periods on account increases in precipitation (e.g. South Georgia; Bentley et al., 2007) and in others during periods of cooler temperatures (e.g. King George Island; Simms et al., 2012). On James Ross Island model simulations suggest glacier fluctuations there are more sensitive to temperature change, than precipitation (Davies et al., 2014).

In the case of ice shelves, incursions of warm circumpolar deep water onto the Antarctic continental shelf, means that some ice shelves lose nearly all their mass by basal melting (Corr et al., 2002; Jenkins and Jacobs, 2008). These incursions are linked to an increase in westerly wind stress near the continental shelf edge resulting from far field increases in sea surface temperature in the central Tropical Pacific (Steig, 2012). Local atmospheric temperature is therefore considered secondary to far field sea surface temperatures in driving ice shelf collapse. Changes in the regional glacial discharge along the Antarctic Peninsula inferred from a marine δ18O diatom record near Anvers Island have similarly been linked to incursions of circumpolar deep water resulting from far field changes in the Pacific in the early and mid Holocene. However in the late–Holocene an increasing occurrence of La Niña events (which influence west Antarctic Peninsula atmospheric circulation) and rising levels of summer insolation are considered to have had a stronger influence (Pike et al., 2013). On James Ross Island a recent increase in summer melt has been attributed to a strengthening of the Southern Annular Mode bringing the core of the westerly wind belt towards Antarctica (Abram et al., 2013). In the most recent decades a number of these processes appear have operated together to bring about the synchronous deglaciation observed throughout the region (cf. Hodgson, 2011).

According to modeling results, the annual mean temperature at 9 ka was 1–5 °C above the present in the Arctic (Renssen et al., 2005a) and 0.5–1.5 °C at southern high latitudes (Renssen et al., 2005b). This assessment may partly explain a certain symmetry in glacier variations of high latitudes in the NH and SH that is evident in Fig. 2, namely the early Holocene retreat of glaciers in both regions.

Comparison of these records with the zonal temperature reconstructions (Marcott et al., 2013) shows that trends of the orbitally driven temperature changes in the NH (90–30° N) generally agree with the glacier fluctuation records (Fig. 5), including the amplitude of reconstructed temperature. This is despite the fact that glaciers are mainly proxies for summer temperature variations, while the Marcott et al. (2013) series mainly represent the long-term mean of marine proxy data for different seasons. The exception discussed above is the Asian monsoon glaciers with their opposite trend of changes from large ice masses in the early Holocene to smaller ones in recent time. The temperature stack in the

Fig. 5. Variations of position of Holocene tree line. Yamal (Hantemirov and Shiyatov, 2002), Swedish Scandes (Oeberg and Kullman, 2011), Canadian Cordillera (Luckman, 1986; Osborn and Luckman, 1988; Reasoner et al., 2001; Koch et al., 2004; Menounos et al., 2004; Clague et al., 2009), White Mountains (1) and Snake Range (2), California (Salzer et al., 2014), Alps (Holzhauser et al., 2005; Ivy-Ochs et al., 2009), Altai (Nazarov et al., 2012), and this may account for some of this difference, although more information is needed to test this hypothesis in this poorly-documented region.

In New Zealand there was a gradual decrease in glacier size over the Holocene, interrupted by a few still–stands between ca 12 ka and 6 ka. A larger number of still stands are preserved in the moraine record during the Neoglacial, after ca 4 ka. It has been suggested that although the long-term trend of Holocene glacier variations is consistent with orbital forcing, regional-scale climate changes associated with ocean–atmosphere variability in the south west Pacific primarily drove these glacier fluctuations (Schaefer et al., 2009; Putnam et al., 2012). Modeling studies show that New Zealand glaciers are particularly sensitive to temperature
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Regions</th>
<th>Ages (ka) of advances of glaciers in the Holocene from recent regional compilations</th>
<th>Dates of advances (ka)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Western Canada, USA</td>
<td>11.6</td>
<td>7.3–8.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eastern Canada, USA</td>
<td>11.4</td>
<td>7.4–8.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Greenland</td>
<td>11.3</td>
<td>7.4–8.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scandinavia</td>
<td>11.2</td>
<td>7.4–8.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Southern Norway</td>
<td>11.3</td>
<td>7.3–8.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iceland</td>
<td>11.3</td>
<td>7.3–8.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alps</td>
<td>10.5</td>
<td>7.8–10.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Semi-arid Asia</td>
<td>11.8</td>
<td>6.3–11.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Himalaya</td>
<td>11.4</td>
<td>6.3–11.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South of China</td>
<td>11.4</td>
<td>6.3–11.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Zealand</td>
<td>11.5</td>
<td>6.3–11.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antarctica</td>
<td>11.5</td>
<td>6.3–11.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Some scholars suggested a global synchronicity of the Holocene glacier fluctuations on century to millennial time scales (Denton and Karlen, 1993a,b; Köthe, 1986; Grove, 2004; Koch and Clague, 2006) although others (Matthews and Dresser, 2008; Kirkbride and Winkler, 2012) underlined the difficulties in the identification of synchronicity between regional glacier fluctuations, mostly due to the low accuracy of the dating. In regions where detailed records are available, such as the Alps and Scandinavia, the patterns of synchronicity are better documented and more credible. Matthews and Dresser (2008) identified 17 century-to-millennial-scale glacial events in Southern Norway and compared these records to 23 events recorded in Swiss and Austrian Alps. They recognized 13 near-synchronous pan-European glacial events. General coherency of the European glacial advances in the Holocene with those in the Central Asia was also suggested (Seong et al., 2009b; Dortch et al., 2013), although these records are generally less detailed and less accurate.

Bakke et al. (2010) identified near-synchronous glacier advances across the NH at roughly 4.0 ka, 2.7 ka, 2.0 ka, 1.3 ka and during the LIA comparing the Scandinavian records with those from Spitsbergen, Baffin Island, Iceland, European Alps, and Himalaya. Some synchronicity in glacier responses to climate change was found throughout the eastern Canadian Arctic and possibly in eastern Greenland (Margreth et al., 2014).

Contradictory conclusions are obtained for the synchronicity between the New Zealand and European glacier advances. Porter (2007) suggested that four stages of Neoglacial advances in the Northern and Southern Hemispheres were generally synchronous, but the scale of advances was opposite due to the contrasting trends of insolation in both hemispheres. Earlier studies claimed a correlation between the time of glacier fluctuations in New Zealand and Europe (Gellatly et al., 1988; Grove, 2004), whereas more recent ones based on $^{10}$Be ages of moraines (Schaefer et al., 2009; Putnam et al., 2012) identified a more complex pattern. Schaefer et al. (2009) suggested that regional modes of variability (e.g. Interdecadal Pacific Oscillation) are playing a more important role, acting as a major forcing of Holocene glacier fluctuations in the New Zealand area. Putnam et al. (2012) argue that the synchronicity in glacier variations between New Zealand and Europe is due to insolation-driven migration of Earth’s thermal equator. Such attempts to establish inter-hemispheric links between glacier variations based on discontinuous records were criticized by Winkler and Matthews (2010; Licciardi et al. (2009) suggested a broad correlation of the early Holocene and LIA advances in the tropical Andes of southern Peru with those of the NH. However more recently the $^{10}$Be ages of these moraines were recalculated using the local rate production (Jomelli et al., 2014) and the similarity becomes questionable.

The discussion above shows that the correlation of glacier fluctuations is challenging. Our attempts to correlate interregional centennial and multicentennial glacier fluctuations described below, are also tentative, however they are based on the most extensive and up-to-date data set. The attribution of glacier fluctuations to forcings is often uncertain, and the results depend on the criteria used for defining the start and end of glacier advances.
fluctuations to potential climatic forcings is also challenging, although numerical modeling of glaciers and their interaction with climate is improving our understanding (e.g. Anderson and Mackintosh, 2006; Jomelli et al., 2011; Kaplan et al., 2013; Marzeion et al., 2014).

Table 1 shows the periods of glacier advance assessed by various experts at the regional level. Although the accuracy of these age estimates is relatively low and the range of the ages is sometimes rather broad, the timing of advances cluster in some groups (also described in section 4 of Supplementary Materials “Glacier fluctuations by millennia”).

Clusters of regional sub-millennial glacier advances reveal several parameters that may be correlative with glacier expansions (Table 2). We use the ages of the Total Solar Irradiance (TSI) anomalies (lower than \(-2 \, \text{W m}^{-2}\)) for the last 9 ka based on the reconstruction of cosmogenic isotope records from ice cores with the uncertain long-term trend removed (Renssen et al., 2006). However, we note that there is still no firm consensus on the relationship between variations in solar activity (which, together with geomagnetic field changes, drive cosmogenic isotope production) and TSI.

Some intervals of early Holocene glacier advances roughly correspond to meltwater pulses at 11.1 ka, 10.3 ka, 9.2 ka and 8.2 ka (Nesje et al., 2004; Alley and Agustsdottir, 2005), however the accuracy of the dating is still too low for definitive conclusions. It is intriguing that some of these events also correspond to periods of low solar activity (Renssen et al., 2007). The solar signal contribution in the climate deterioration at 8.2 ka was suggested earlier (Muscheler et al., 2004). For the 11.1–11.0 ka, 9.3–9.1 ka and 8.1–8.0 ka periods there are also evidences of strong volcanic eruptions (Table 2). Four events punctuating the high Asian monsoon intensity centered at 11.2 ka, 10.9 ka, 9.2 ka, 8.3 ka and 8.1 ka are recorded in the stalagmite stable isotope series from China (Dykoski et al., 2005).

While the correlations of the early to mid Holocene glacial events with the solar and volcanic signals are rather ambiguous, the pattern becomes more clear for the Neoglacial advances after ca 4.5 ka. The coupled global atmosphere–ocean–vegetation model ECBilt-CLIO-VECODE forced by orbital parameters, greenhouse gases and total solar irradiance shows that coolings, especially in the Nordic sea, may occur after the long-lasting negative TSI anomalies followed by extensive sea ice buildup. In these experiments the positive oceanic feedback amplifies the solar forced cooling in the North Atlantic region. In the last 4.5 ka such events are centered at 4.3 ka, 3.8 ka, 3.2 ka, 2.6 ka, 2.3 ka, 1.3 ka, 0.9 ka, 0.7 ka and 0.4 ka (Renssen et al., 2006). Eight out of nine advances of the last 4.5 ka closely correspond to the coolings in the North Atlantic forced by the TSI negative anomalies of multidecadal duration (Renssen et al., 2006). Only one glacial event (at 1.7–1.6 ka) does not fit this pattern, and it coincides with the most

Table 2
Comparison of clusters of ages (ka) of glacier advances in the extra-tropical areas of the NH and SH and in low latitudes with major volcanic eruptions, solar activity, and Bond events. Advances that occurred in both hemispheres are marked by yellow, those occurring only in the NH are in blue. Numbers in brackets indicate the number of advances recorded. (N+7) means that a certain number of advances can possibly belong to the same group, but it only marginally corresponds to the interval of the dates. Solar forcings – by Renssen et al. (2006), strong climatically effective volcanic eruptions by Bay et al. (2006), Gao et al. (2007), Sigl et al. (2013), the maxima in ice-rafted debris (IRD) in North Atlantic ocean cores (which are correlative with the solar activity) – by Bond et al. (2001).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Global Volcanic events</th>
<th>Bond’s cycles</th>
<th>TSI minima</th>
<th>Cold periods in the North Atlantic</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>11.8-11.5 (4)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11.4-11.0 (5)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11.3, 11.0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.7 (1)</td>
<td>10.9-10.7 (2)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.5 (3)</td>
<td>10.5 (3)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.1-10.0 (3)</td>
<td>10.1-10.0 (3)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9.7 (2)</td>
<td>9.8 (1)</td>
<td>9.8-9.7 (3)</td>
<td>9.7-9.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9.2 (3)</td>
<td>9.1 (1)</td>
<td>9.2-9.1 (4)</td>
<td>9.3-9.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.8 (2)</td>
<td>8.7 (1)</td>
<td>8.8-8.7 (7?)</td>
<td>8.1-8.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.2-8.0 (5+2?)</td>
<td>8.0-8.2 (2+2?)</td>
<td>8.1-8.0 (7+4?)</td>
<td>8.1-8.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.7 (3)</td>
<td>7.7 (3)</td>
<td>7.7 (3)</td>
<td>8.0-7.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.2-6.8 (1)</td>
<td>7.2 (1)</td>
<td>7.2-6.8 (2)</td>
<td>7.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.9-6.6 (2)</td>
<td>6.9-6.5 (1)</td>
<td>6.9-6.5 (3)</td>
<td>7.6-7.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.1 (1)</td>
<td>6.1 (1)</td>
<td></td>
<td>6.3-6.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.8-5.6 (3)</td>
<td>5.8-5.6 (3)</td>
<td></td>
<td>6.0-5.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.4-4.9 (2)</td>
<td>5.2</td>
<td>5.4-4.9 (5+1)</td>
<td>5.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.4-4.9 (2)</td>
<td>5.4-4.9 (5+1)</td>
<td></td>
<td>5.4-5.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.5-4.2 (5)</td>
<td>4.3-3.9 (1)</td>
<td>4.5-3.9 (6)</td>
<td>4.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.8-3.4 (3)</td>
<td>3.6-3.2 (1)</td>
<td>3.8-3.4 (3+17)</td>
<td>3.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.5-2.8 (9)</td>
<td>3.5-2.8 (9)</td>
<td></td>
<td>3.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.5-2.8 (9)</td>
<td>3.5-2.8 (9)</td>
<td></td>
<td>3.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.3-2.0 (5)</td>
<td>2.3-2.2 (2+1?)</td>
<td></td>
<td>2.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.7-1.5 (4+17)</td>
<td>2.0-1.6 (2)</td>
<td>2.0-1.6 (2)</td>
<td>2.8-2.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.4-1.2 (4)</td>
<td>1.4-1.1 (2)</td>
<td>1.4-1.1 (7)</td>
<td>2.4-2.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.1-0.8 (4+17)</td>
<td>1.2-0.9 (2+1?)</td>
<td></td>
<td>2.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.7-0.6 (5)</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>0.7-0.6 (8)</td>
<td>2.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.5-0.3 (8)</td>
<td>0.5-0.4, 0.3</td>
<td>0.5-0.3 (3+17)</td>
<td>0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.1 (4)</td>
<td>0.1 (1)</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
violent volcanic eruption of Taupo (New Zealand) in the last 5 ka, dated at 232 ± 5 CE (Sigl et al., 2013).

The solar signal was previously recognized in multi-centennial and multi-decadal glacier fluctuations in the Alps (Holzhauser et al., 2005; Hornes et al., 2006; Nussbaumer et al., 2011), Canadian Rockies (Luckman and Wilson, 2005), Alaska (Hu et al., 2003; Wiles et al., 2004), Scandinavia (Karlen, Denton, 1976; Karlen, Kuylenstierna, 1996; Matthews, 2007), Baffin Island (Briner et al., 2009), American Cordillera (MacGregor et al., 2011).

Data on Holocene glacier variations indicate that small fluctuations in solar irradiance led to consequences that were traceable at least in the high and mid latitudes of the NH. This conclusion is in conflict with results of recent climate simulations driven by lower levels of solar forcing (Schmidt et al., 2011) and suggests a primary role for internal variability in Holocene climate changes (IPCC, 2013). Our results suggest that solar activity may have played a role in the large scale climatic transformations, at least in the second half of the Holocene. However, it is also important to note that several solar minima coincided with climatically effective explosive volcanic eruptions as it was shown at least for the last two millennia by PAGES 2K Consortium (2013). Miller et al. (2013) suggested that forcing which triggers sea ice expansion in the Arctic may initiate a very strong cooling such as that observed at the beginning of the LIA. Whether solar forcing can induce such persistent feedbacks has not yet been demonstrated, but amplifying mechanisms of this sort may be necessary to explain how quite small (0.1–0.2%) changes in total solar irradiance can possibly lead to significant changes in glaciers at sites across the NH.

4.4. Magnitude of Holocene glacier fluctuations and modern glacier retreat in the context of the Holocene records

The retreat of glacier fronts, the decrease of the glacier area and volume recorded and documented in most regions of the globe in the last 100–150 years is considered unusual due to the high rate of changes and the global extent of glacier shrinkage (Oerlemans, 2005; Barry, 2006; Zemp et al., 2006; IPCC, 2007, 2013). However it is important to remember that in some regions the glaciers were smaller than at present many times over the Holocene. Goehring et al. (2011) demonstrated that during the Holocene the Rhone Glacier in the Swiss Alps and the Jostedalsbreen glacier in Norway were smaller than today during 6.5 ka and 7.2 ka, respectively. Some of these periods of former regional glacier contraction are attributed to external forcings, i.e., long-trem trend of low summer insolation during the boreal summer due to orbital forcing in the early to mid Holocene, regional modes of variability and, finally, greenhouse gases in 20th–21st centuries, but many events are still waiting for a deeper understanding of attribution and process modeling (Marzeion et al., 2014).

For obvious reasons it is difficult to accurately estimate the ELA rise during the former phases of glacier retreat. Using the positions of the past tree line Joerin et al. (2008) calculated an ELA rise of 220 m relative to 1960–1985 CE at Tschiviera glacier in the Alps during the Holocene warm intervals. Ivy-Ochs et al. (2009) assumed increased seasonality during the early and mid Holocene and summer temperatures higher than at present by 1.2 °C.

At Okstindbreen in the northern Norway, based on the lake sediment analyses and dating of moraines, Bakke et al. (2010) reconstructed the ELA variations since 9 ka to be from ~250 to ~350 m in comparison to the modern altitude (~340 m). This amplitude is similar to the one in the Alps. Bakke et al. (2008) argue that the retreat of maritime glaciers along western Scandinavia was unprecedented at least for the last 5.2 ka. Koch et al. (2004, 2007) claim that in Western Canada many glaciers in the early 21st century are already less extensive than they have been throughout the Holocene.

Based on tree line variations and glacier advances over the Holocene, Karlen and Denton (1976) suggested that in Scandes mean summer temperatures have varied by 3.5 °C. However, more recent findings of wood macrofossils (Oeberg and Kullman, 2011, Fig. 5) demonstrate that the treeline fluctuations were actually more pronounced: 9.6–9.5 ka birch and pine grew 400–600 m above the treeline and, hence, summer temperatures may have been 3.5 °C higher than at present only during the warming (Oeberg and Kullman, 2011) (Fig. 5). Taking into consideration ELA depression during the Neoglacial glacier advances, the amplitude of temperature changes over the Holocene in this region was probably more than 4.5 °C. According to oxygen–isotope records in lacustrine biogenic silica in Swedish Lapland the magnitude of cooling during the Holocene is between 2.5 °C and 4 °C (Shemesh et al., 2001).

Glaciers in the Arctic were smaller than today over most of the Holocene and many of them disappeared completely during the peak of the warming in the first half of the Holocene (e.g. Koerner and Fisher, 2002; Dyke, 1999). For example, the Qassimiat lobe in Greenland was behind its present margin from 9 ka until the LIA (Larsen et al., 2011), and in the Spitsbergen reed by 10.3 ka, and the cirque remained ice-free until 0.6 ka (Snyder et al., 2000). A similar pattern is recorded at many glaciers in Baffin Island (Briner et al., 2009), and in Franz Josef Land (Lubinsky et al., 1999) (see other examples in Fig. 2). Most Arctic glaciers advanced in the 19th to early 20th centuries. In Arctic Canada the snowline at the ice caps was at that time 200 m lower than in the mid-20th century (Locke and Locke, 1976).

During the Holocene Thermal Maximum (e.g. ca 8 ka) the Arctic summer temperature is estimated to have been +1.7 ± 0.8 °C, while for the NH the rise was more moderate (+0.5 ± 0.3 °C) and even smaller for the globe (0 ± 0.5 °C) compared with today (Miller et al., 2010). As suggested by Miller et al. (2010) Arctic summer temperature variations tend to be 3–4 times as large as the global changes due to polar amplification.

In the Canadian Arctic the decrease in reconstructed snowline positions between ~5 ka and the 20th century was 650 ± 90 m, and this was attributed to a ~5% decrease in June–July insolation at 65° N. The inferred summer cooling is ~2.7 °C (Miller et al., 2013b). The cooling estimated from the glacial records is two times larger than the response predicted by CMIP5 climate models (Miller et al., 2013b). In this region the current glacier retreat is considered unprecedented in the Holocene (Miller et al., 2010) even probably since the Last Interglacial (Miller et al., 2013a).

In contrast to the NH, New Zealand snowline depressions in the early Holocene (largest Holocene advances) at 10.7 ka and 9.8 ka was 240 m below present (it was 1.6 °C cooler in comparison to 1995 CE) (Putnam et al., 2012). By 6.9 ka the snowline gradually rose by 90 m. During the LIA advances, 0.7–0.5 ka, the depression was 150 m relative to present.

In Central Asia the early Holocene ELA depressions vary considerably between regions, from less than 200 m (e.g. Muztag Ata and Hunza) to greater than 500 m in the Central Karakoram (Owen and Benn, 2005; Seong et al., 2007). ELA depression was around 300 m below the modern level in Northwest Bhutan, Himalaya, at ca 10.9–9.0 and ca 6.7–4.7 °C (Meyer et al., 2009). The Neoglacial and LIA advances in the Himalaya are generally much less extensive than those of the early Holocene (ELAs >100 m; Owen and Benn, 2005). However as demonstrated by Zhang and Mischke (2009) some glaciers in the Asian monsoon area experienced a strong retreat between 10.4 and 3.6 ka and their Holocene fluctuations were very similar to those of the mid-latitudes of Europe and North America (see Fig. 4). No estimates of the
magnitude of the ELA during the warm/dry periods are reported, to compare to the modern values of glacier shrinkage.

ELAs calculated from a glaciological model revealed a depression of about 470 m in Bolivia during the early Holocene and about 300 m during the LIA compared with today (Jomelli et al., 2011). A glacier–climate model indicates that, relative to modern climate, annual mean temperature for the Cordillera Real (Bolivia) was \(-3.0 \pm 0.8 ^\circ\text{C}\) cooler 11 ka ago and remained \(-2.1 \pm 0.8 ^\circ\text{C}\) cooler until the end of the LIA. In Colombia the same approach revealed ELAs depressions of about 450 m during the early Holocene and about 250 m during the LIA compared with today (Jomelli et al., 2014). The glacier–climate model suggests that annual mean temperature was \(-2.6 \pm 0.8 ^\circ\text{C}\) cooler at 11.5 ka.

Current retreat is recognized as unprecedented in terms of rate of changes throughout the Holocene or during the last 4–5 ka in many regions of the world. This includes the Kerguelen Islands (Frenot et al., 1997), Canadian Arctic (Miller et al., 2013b), Western Canada (Koch et al., 2004), some regions in Scandinavia (Bakke et al., 2008) and in the Alps (Grosjean et al., 2007). Some researchers argue that many glaciers now are already less extensive than they have been throughout the Holocene (e.g. the Canadian Rockies = Koch et al., 2004) or at least in the Neoglacial period (e.g. Quelccaya, Peru: Thompson et al., 2006; western Scandinavia: Bakke et al., 2008). The extremely high rate of recent warming is confirmed by ice core data from the Agassiz Ice Cap in northern Canada: the amount of melt in the last 25 years is the highest in 4200 years, and it is now similar in magnitude to the early Holocene thermal maximum (Fisher et al., 2012).

In the past five decades a synchronous retreat of ice shelves in both polar regions was recorded. Some ice shelves retreated beyond their previous Holocene minima (Larsen Ice Shelf B), others are still within the margins of Holocene variability (Antoniades et al., 2011). Unlike of the past retreats, the modern period is characterized by synchronous shrinkage of polar ice shelves in the Arctic and Antarctic, possibly triggered by a single forcing mechanism (Hodgson, 2011).

At least in two regions there is evidence that the modern glacier retreat is even more unusual than that of the past. Radiocarbon ages of >ca 44 ka on plants emerging from ice margins in the Canadian Arctic (Miller et al., 2013), suggest that current warming and ice retreat during the past century is unprecedented over at least the past 44 ka, including during the Holocene Thermal Maximum (Kaufman et al., 2004). There is also some sedimentary evidence from Baffin Island that it may be unprecedented over the last 120 ka (Miller et al., 2013). In the Mongun Tayga Mts (Central Asia) fresh-looking wood under the retreating glacier yielded a \(^{14}\text{C}\) age older than 58 ka (LU-3666). Most probably the forest was growing there during the previous interglacial (Ganiushkin, 2001). It means that the present day glacier size is smaller than it ever was in the last ca 90–125 ka. However these results should be interpreted with care due to tectonic activity in the region.

In conclusion, the glaciers in the NH were generally small between 9 and 5.5 ka. In some regions they were smaller at the end of 20th century than in the early to mid Holocene, and the retreat was primarily triggered by orbital forcing. The 20th–21st centuries retreat occurring in both hemispheres is not consistent with the expected current orbital forcings which should have caused cooling and promoted glaciation, at least in the NH.

The retreat occurring in other regions (low latitudes, SH; Fig. 4) is also rapid and of high magnitude. For these reasons it can not be attributed to long-term orbital forcing. Anthropogenic forcing is mainly contributing to the current glacier retreat, with dramatic changes in glaciers worldwide, at high rate and intensity, exceeding the scale of previous Holocene natural variability (Marzeion et al., 2014). Taking into account the inertia of the glacier reactions to the climatic signal the adjustment of glacier tongues will continue to retreat dramatically. If it continues at the same rate, the early–mid Holocene glacier boundary will soon be passed (e.g. Solomina et al., 2008; IPCC, 2013).

5. Conclusions

5.1. Glaciers as climatic proxies

Glacier histories are unlike many other proxies in that they preserve the millennial to centennial climatic signals and, despite some limitations, these records are useful indicators of regional and global climatic changes (Oerlemans, 2005). This timescale is particularly relevant to gain a long-term perspective on contemporary warming over the past century. Glacier fluctuations integrate the temperature and precipitation signals, and these signals are often a challenge to separate. Despite the great difference in their types, sizes, location and other characteristics. The general trends of Holocene glacier fluctuations in the extra-tropical areas of the NH are coherent with the shifts in the Northern and upper tree line. This coincidence is mutually supportive and is consistent with summer temperature as the driver of Holocene glaciation. In some cases the large changes inferred from glacier records are more pronounced than reconstructions based on high resolution records (i.e., lakes sediments, tree-rings, ice cores) and models driven by solar and volcanic forcing. This observation may indicate that other proxies and model results tend at times to underestimate the amplitude of Holocene climate change.

5.2. Long-term Holocene glacier changes (orbital scale)

The role of orbital forcing in Holocene glacier variability is most evident in the magnitude of long-term glacier advances in the high and mid latitudes of the NH, where glaciers were generally smaller in the early to mid Holocene and were progressively more extensive in the second half of the Holocene (Neoglacial advances culminating in the LIA). This general trend agrees well with the upper and northern tree line reconstructions in the NH (e.g. Hantemirov and Shiyatov, 2002; Oeberg and Kulmann, 2011; Nicolussi and Schlüchter, 2012).

The SH glaciers in New Zealand appear to follow the orbital trend, which is mainly in summer opposite to the NH. Glacier fluctuations in monsoonal Asia and in Southern South America generally do not correlate with the orbital trends. They likely respond to internal variability in form of specific teleconnections (e.g. ENSO) and related atmospheric circulation changes. In the tropical Andes, the glaciers underwent a decline over the past 11 ka that mirrors low-frequency insolation changes, suggesting a possible external forcing amplified by regional mechanisms (Jomelli et al., 2011). The South American summer monsoon (SASM) is likely to have been the dominant moisture source for the glaciers over the past 11 ka and glacier mass balance could have responded sensitively to precession-driven changes in SASM-related moisture supply. GCM studies suggest that the early Holocene summer insolation minimum changed the SASM by shifting the mean latitudinal position of the intertropical convergence zone while cooling the eastern equatorial Pacific Ocean.

In both hemispheres, glacier advances in the mid Holocene (between ca 8 and 5 ka) were generally small in comparison to their LIA magnitudes. A general trend of increased glacier activity in the Neoglacial (after ca 5 ka) is also evident in both hemispheres,
although the beginning of the Neoglacial advances may differ substantially over the regions. In several occasions centennial variations caused by other forcings are superimposed on the orbital trend masking the signal, but also offering opportunities to reconstruct regional climate change.

5.3. Centennial glacier variability in the Holocene

Many glaciers worldwide record strong centennial scale climate signals. The accuracy and coverage of the records is still too low to assess the global or regional synchronicity of advances at the centennial scale with high confidence. At least some groups of glacier advances were clustered – for example, the advances at 11.0–11.4 ka documented in the NH and in the tropics, the events at 9.1–9.2 ka and 8.0–8.4 ka recorded in the NH and SH. The continual refinement of regional glacial chronologies and application of different methods of reconstruction including continuous records from lake sediments will hopefully improve these assessments and allow a more comprehensive identification of such intervals.

Apart from the aforementioned intervals, glacier records presently do not provide firm evidence of global synchronism through the Holocene on the centennial to millennial scale. However, the lack of evidence for synchronicity can also be connected to limitations in these records (discontinuous, incomplete, of low accuracy, showing a mixture of advances triggered by both temperature and precipitation).

5.4. Solar and volcanic forcing and internal variability as triggers of Holocene glacier variations

Glacier advances clustering at 4.4–4.2 ka, 3.8–3.4 ka, 3.3–2.8 ka, 2.6 ka, 2.3–2.1 ka, 1.5–1.4 ka, 1.2–1.0 ka and 0.7–0.5 ka correspond to general coolings in the North Atlantic. It has been noted that these cooler periods correspond to multidecadal periods of low solar activity at 4.3 ka, 3.8 ka, 3.2 ka, 2.6 ka, 2.3 ka, 1.3 ka, 0.9 ka, 0.7 ka and 0.4 ka (Renssen et al., 2006). Only one cluster of glacier advances at 1.7–1.6 ka does not fit to this pattern, but it does correspond to very strong volcanic eruption of Taupo (New Zealand), dated at 232 ± 5 CE (Sigl et al., 2013). Furthermore, Miller et al. (2013) have identified possible volcanic-related forcing for the onset of the LIA at least for Arctic Canada. Feedbacks (in this case, sea ice) are invoked to amplify and sustain the volcanic signal.

Due to covariance between Grand Solar Minima (Steinhilber et al., 2009) and large tropical volcanic eruptions (PAGES 2K Consortium, 2013) it is sometimes difficult to separate the forcings of cooling episodes that led to glacier advances. Several glacier advances of the last two millennia, mainly the events at 1.3 ka and during the LIA might be typical examples, but further studies are needed to shed more light on this problem.

Finally, even at the multidecadal to multcentennial scale, internal (chaotic) variability can also trigger climate conditions (e.g. the domination of a long lasting La Niña) that may be favorable for glacier advances in some regions. In summary, it is clear that orbital forcing sets the stage at the multi-centennial scale in many areas, and that freshwater pulses leading to a damped Meridional overturning circulation (MOC) probably played an important role in the early Holocene (at least in the NH). There is some evidence that solar forcing may have had an effect on glacier fluctuations in the late Holocene, but currently there is no good mechanistic explanation for how small changes in irradiance could have led to significant climatic changes on a large (global or hemispheric) scale. Major explosive eruptions likely played a role in reducing temperatures for a number of years after those events, but unless several events were closely clustered in time, or individual eruptions were amplified by additional persistent feedbacks (such as snow cover or sea-ice expansion) it is difficult to see how the major glacial advances of the Holocene can be explained by explosive volcanism alone. Moreover, the record of explosive volcanism over the course of the Holocene is poorly known. Hence, at this stage, there are still significant uncertainties about the forcing factors that were responsible for glacier fluctuations in the Holocene.

5.5. Modern glacier retreat in the Holocene context

Perhaps of most significance, there is much evidence of unusual glacier behavior in the last century (and especially in the last few decades) in many regions compared to Holocene glacier changes. The recent exposure of organic material buried under the ice since the early to mid Holocene in some regions is unprecedented for at least the last four to five millennia and in some areas (e.g. in the Canadian Arctic: Miller et al., 2013) possibly since the Last Interglacial. The retreat is occurring at very high rates, is almost universally global in scale and is acting during an interval of orbital forcing favorable for glacier growth, rather than degradation. This highlights the remarkable consequences of anthropogenic forcing on glaciers worldwide.
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