Simulating brittle fault evolution from networks of pre-existing joints within crystalline rock
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ABSTRACT

Many faults grow by linkage of smaller structures, and damage zones around faults may arise as a result of this linkage process. In this paper we present the first numerical simulations of the temporal and spatial evolution of fault linkage structures from more than 20 pre-existing joints, the initial positions of which are based on field observation. We show how the constantly evolving geometry and local stress field within this network of joints contribute to the fracture pattern. Markedly different fault-zone trace geometries are predicted when the joints are at different angles to the maximum compressive far-field stress ranging from evolving smooth linear structures to complex ‘stepped’ fault-zone trace geometries. We show that evolution of the complex fault-zone geometry is governed by: (1) the strong local variations in the stress field due to complex interactions between neighbouring joints; and (2) the orientation of the initial joint pattern with respect to the far-field stress.

© 2009 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Several authors have proposed that faults evolve under imposed stress by the linkage of pre-existing structures (Segall and Pollard, 1983; Martel, 1990; Bergbauer and Martel, 1999; Pachell et al., 2003). The pre-existing structures from which faults nucleate are commonly open or mineral-filled joints that are weaker than the surrounding rock (Segall and Pollard, 1983; Bergbauer and Martel, 1999; Pachell and Evans, 2002). When pre-existing features experience compressive loading, stress concentrations (both tensile and shear) develop around the tip of the feature. Shearing of these pre-existing features often results in the formation of secondary fractures at (or near) the tip of the feature. These secondary fractures have different names including: tail cracks/fractures (Cruikshank and Aydin, 1994; Willemsen et al., 1997), splay fractures (Pachell and Evans, 2002; Myers and Aydin, 2004), horsetail fractures (Granier, 1985; Kim et al., 2004) and wing cracks (Crider and Peacock, 2004).

In this paper all fractures (tension or shear) associated with faulting at (or near) the tip of a pre-existing feature are termed wing cracks. Conceptual models of fault evolution through the development of wing cracks (Martel, 1990; Martel and Boger, 1998) are supported by field observations of wing crack evolution from single joints or faults (Kattenhorn and Marshall, 2006; Joussineau et al., 2007) and by observations of linking fractures that have developed between pairs of isolated faults (Peacock and Sanderson, 1995; Kim et al., 2004). Wing cracks developing with shear displacement are also commonly observed in field data, for instance the sheared dyke in Fig. 1a and the large splay faults in Kirkpatrick et al. (2008, their Fig. 8).

In this paper, we focus on fault-zone development in crystalline rocks. Natural exposures of fault-zone traces within crystalline rocks can have many geometries, from smooth, approximately planar features (Fig. 1a) where faults appear to develop along strike, to complex stepped structures (Fig. 1b) where adjacent faults are linked at stepovers, or a combination of both (Fig. 1c). Key questions are: what governs the geometry of the evolving fault-zones? How are fractures within the fault-zone linked?

A series of numerical models simulating fault growth, support these conceptual models for fault-zone evolution. These models have simulated the evolution of wing cracks from the tips of pre-existing structures (Shen and Stephansson, 1993; Bürgmann et al., 1994; Kattenhorn et al., 2000; Willson et al., 2007) or the linkage of pairs of faults with extensional and contractional geometries (Du and Aydin, 1995; Bremaecker and Ferris, 2004; Lunn et al., 2008). These simple, two-dimensional (2D) models have enabled prediction of the orientation of linkage fractures and their mode of failure, for a single fracture or pair of fractures in an ideal homogeneous medium. However, these simulations, derived from one or two fractures, are not sufficient to understand the range of complex geometries observed in the field (Fig. 1). Within this paper we
extend current knowledge by simulating fault-zone evolution in granite from a network of more than 20 joints. We show that evolution of the resulting fault-zone geometry is governed by: (1) the strong local variations in the stress field due to complex interactions between neighbouring joints; and (2) the orientation of the initial joint pattern with respect to the far-field stress.

2. Methodology

We use the computer code Modelling Of Permeability Evolution in the Damage Zone surrounding faults (MOPEDZ) (Willson et al., 2007) to simulate spatial and temporal evolution of complex patterns of linking fractures. MOPEDZ was developed using the commercially available finite-element software COMSOL which is called from within the MATLAB code. The COMSOL finite-element routines assume plane strain during the simulations. MOPEDZ is a 2D finite-element model which solves Navier’s equation in a series of ‘quasi’ steady-states and uses a combined Mohr Coulomb and tensile failure criteria. Elements within the finite-element mesh are either intact host rock or fractured host rock. Elements which contain fractures (including the initial joints) are represented by lower effective material values (10% of the host) for Young’s modulus, Poisson’s ratio and material strength, in a similar approach to Tang (1997). Representing the accumulation of damage within each element by altering that element’s material properties is consistent with other damage mechanics models (Jing, 2003).

The initial configuration for all MOPEDZ simulations is similar to that illustrated in Fig. 2 with the host rock (granodiorite) having the
properties listed in Table 1 and any elements containing pre-existing joints having reduced material properties (10% of the host) (Wilson et al., 2007). The simulated maximum compressive far-field stress direction, $\sigma_1$, is parallel to the $y$ axis (i.e. top-to-bottom in all MOPEDZ figures) and the minimum, $\sigma_3$, is parallel to the $x$ axis (i.e. left-to-right in all MOPEDZ figures) (Fig. 2). Note that in the field both $\sigma_1$ and $\sigma_3$ are horizontal. Initially all boundaries are displaced inward holding $\sigma_1 = 2\sigma_3$, (in compression) however following the first failure (either Mohr Coulomb or tensile) the $\sigma_3$ boundaries are held constant and from this point on only the $\sigma_1$ boundaries are displaced towards each other, i.e. $\sigma_1$ progressively increasing with $\sigma_3$ held constant. All simulations presented here are in compression. Throughout this paper $\sigma_1$ and $\sigma_3$ refer to the far-field stress imposed by the boundaries of the finite-element model and $\sigma_1^{\text{local}}$ and $\sigma_3^{\text{local}}$ refer to the local stress field around damaged cells. All simulations use square finite-elements; the number of mesh elements in the

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rock property</th>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Host Rock Young’s modulus</td>
<td>60 GPa</td>
<td>Martin (1997)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Host rock Poisson’s ratio</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>Turcotte and Schubert (2002)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Young’s modulus of fractured element</td>
<td>1.2 GPa</td>
<td>Segall and Pollard (1983)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poisson’s ratio of fractured element</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Co (shear strength)</td>
<td>130 MPa</td>
<td>Martin (1997)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\mu$ (coefficient of friction)</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>Byerlee (1967)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>To (tensile strength)</td>
<td>10 MPa</td>
<td>Martin (1997)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of cells permitted to fail</td>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Where relevant the right hand column contains the reference from which the value of the mechanical property was derived.

Fig. 2. Typical initial setup showing the orientation of $\sigma_1$ and $\sigma_3$ (simulated far-field stress). Gray area is host rock, black is host rock containing joints (n.b. the pixellated nature of the pre-existing joints is a product of the model). The model boundaries (red) are under displacement control, following the initial failure only the top and bottom boundaries are displaced. To avoid consideration of structures generated at the boundary in the large simulations, only the central window (within the blue box) was presented in the results. For all small simulations no window was taken and all results within the red model boundaries are presented. The number of mesh elements varies from 6400 to 136,500 depending on the size of the simulation. (For interpretation of the references to colour in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the web version of this article.)

Table 1

MOPEDZ simulation parameters for brittle rock.
Fig. 4. (a) Small section from map shown in Fig. 1c. (b) Mapped joints oriented at 60° to the maximum principal stress ($\sigma_1$) (model requires $\sigma_1$ to be parallel to the y axis). (c) Finite-element mesh containing initial pre-existing joints (n.b. the pixelated nature of the pre-existing joints is a product of the model).

Fig. 5. Damage plot showing six frames from a simulation consisting of 350 steps which illustrate the temporal evolution of the linking fractures predicted by MOPEDZ from (i) the initial joints through to (vi) the final structure (finite-element mesh 390 × 350). The joints are oriented at approximately 60° to $\sigma_1$. Linkages at Location A are in a different orientation to the rest of the simulation (see Fig. 7). At Location B overlapping joints in an extensional geometry link in a similar way as those in Fig. 3c. At Location C under-lapping joints in a contractional geometry link in a similar way as those shown in Fig. 3b. At Location D two closely spaced joints in a contractional geometry link with a third more distant joint which is in an extensional geometry. At Location E joints under-lapping and in an extensional geometry link in a similar way as those shown in Fig. 3a. All slipped joints are left lateral.
Once a steady-state solution has been achieved for a given boundary displacement, the top and bottom boundaries undergo further displacement towards each other and the whole solution process is repeated. During any one iteration of the code, only a small number of elements (<6 in these simulations) are permitted to fail to ensure stability of the model solution and provide an estimation of the temporal propagation of the fractures.

Earlier research using MOPEDZ to examine failure from a single joint (Willson et al., 2007) shows that fracture-trace geometries are not sensitive to the initial mechanical properties of the host rock (Table 1), e.g. if Young’s modulus of the crystalline host rock is lower, the same trace geometries are formed but at lower values of the displacement of the boundaries. Fracture-trace geometries are principally determined by local variations in the Young’s modulus (i.e. damaged elements), the orientation of the pre-existing joint to the far-field maximum compressive stress and the ratio of \( \sigma_1 - \sigma_3 \). In simulations where \( \sigma_1 \gg \sigma_3 \), failure was predominately in tension, for those where \( \sigma_1 \) is close to \( 2\sigma_3 \), simulated failure was predominately in shear. The mode of failure results in different orientations of the evolving linkage fractures (Lunn et al., 2008). MOPEDZ simulations of fault linkage involving just two initial joints (Lunn et al., 2008) showed that fracture-geometries develop in a predictable way summarised in Fig. 3. Four initial stepover geometries were modelled: (a) under-lapping extensional; (b) under-lapping contractional; (c) overlapping extensional; and (d) overlapping contractual. The geometries of linkage structures are governed by three key factors: (1) the ratio of \( \sigma_1 - \sigma_3 \); (2) the initial relative positions of the joints, specifically, contractional vs. extensional geometries and overlapping vs. under-lapping joints; and (3) the orientation of the most compressive principal stress direction (\( \sigma_1 \)) relative to the initial pair of joints.

In the following simulations we explore fault-zone evolution through a large population of over 20 initial joints with gradually increasing displacement of the \( \sigma_1 \) boundaries of the model while \( \sigma_3 \) boundaries are held constant. We start from an initial condition for
far-field stresses of $\sigma_1 = 2\sigma_3$. Within the initial joint population, all four configurations of joint stepovers (as seen in Fig. 3) are locally present. The simulations are conducted with the joints at two angles to $\sigma_1$ (approximately 60° and 30° to the initial joints). To explore the effect of local stress perturbations within a large joint network (>20 joints), simulation results are compared to the linkage structures predicted for pairs of joints illustrated in Fig. 3.

2.1. Specifying the location and orientation of the pre-existing joints

The distribution of the pre-existing joints for the following MOPEDZ simulations are based on part of an exposure of the Lake Edison granodiorite in the Mount Abbot Quadrangle in the central Sierra Nevada, California (Figs. 1c and 4a). Martel (1990), Evans et al. (2000) and Kirkpatrick et al. (2008) show that faults in granites in the Sierra Nevada have fault cores defined by zones of cataclasite and ultracataclasite and damage zones consisting of joints and minor faults. These faults are thought to have developed through slip along a population of joints (Segall and Pollard, 1983). These joints were most likely formed during the cooling of the plutons (Bergbauer and Martel, 1999). Observations suggest that slip along the joints was accompanied by the development of wing cracks and linkage structures forming small fault-zones (Martel et al., 1988). Field observations were used to approximate the sections of the small faults that may have comprised the original joints (before some joints were reactivated). Un-reactivated joints were identified as fractures that exhibit zero shear offset (through observations of aplite dykes or mafic enclaves) and lack any association with wing cracks. The locations of reactivated joints were then defined as those portions of the small faults that have similar trace orientations (within 10°) to the un-reactivated joints. The initial joint population input into the following MOPEDZ simulations includes both the un-reactivated and reactivated joints. We emphasise that the purpose of the following simulations is not to reproduce the detailed fault trace geometries observed in the field (since the actual locations of original joints are not known) but to investigate conditions that may promote differing styles of fault-zone development.

The initial joint pattern that was input into MOPEDZ and its relationship to the fracture-traces mapped in the field is shown in Figs. 4a–c. With the exception of the final simulation the joints are at
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Fig. 8. Small simulation with joints in the same orientation as at Location D. (a) Initial orientation of joints for MOPEDZ simulation ($80 \times 80$ finite-element mesh, the pixelated nature of the pre-existing joints is a product of the model). (b) Surface plot of the principal stress immediately prior to first failure. (c) Plots of the norm of the strain tensor (scalar representation of the magnitude of the strain tensors) illustrating the predicted evolution of the fractures.
approximately 60° to \( \sigma_1 \). Stress around pre-existing joints which intersect the boundaries may be influenced by the proximity of the boundary. For the simulations with >20 joints, to avoid consideration of any structures which might result from boundary effects, results are displayed and discussed only for an internal area in the centre of the finite-element mesh, the edges of which are defined in Fig. 4b. Small-scale simulations are also presented, to investigate behaviour at specific locations within the larger mesh. These smaller scale simulations display results over the whole model domain (i.e. no window is taken). In each case, initial damage predictions for the small mesh were compared with those within the larger mesh to confirm that predicted structures were similar, and hence that boundary conditions were not having a substantial effect on model results.

### 2.2. Presentation of simulation results

Simulation results are illustrated using three types of maps. (1) Damage plots show the elements that have failed – grey indicates intact host rock and black indicates fractured host rock (since individual elements may fail multiple times in shear and/or tension, modes of failure are not shown). (2) Strain plots show the Euclidean norm of the strain tensor, which is one of the methods of representing the scalar magnitude of a strain tensor (Mathews and Fink, 2004). Plots of the norm of the strain tensors for each element elucidate a more detailed structure than the damage plots, since they also highlight elements which are under a high strain but that have not yet failed. The norm of the strain tensor presented here may not be appropriate for direct comparison with field data since we start all simulations from an initial condition of zero strain. (3) Surface plots of the local principal stress show the spatial distribution of \( \sigma_1^{local} \); these plots have the same colour scale to allow easy comparison between simulations. Note that surface plots of the local principal stress were produced within COMSOL in which compression is negative and tension positive (the opposite convention is usually adopted within the geological literature).

### 3. Results

#### 3.1. Development of linkage structures

The spatial and temporal evolution of the fracture development and linkage predicted by MOPEDZ, for the joint pattern in Fig. 4c, is shown in Fig. 5 as a damage plot. The initial joints are at approximately 60° to \( \sigma_1 \) (Fig. 5i). At first wing cracks begin to develop on some but not all joints (Fig. 5ii). The orientation of the propagating wing cracks are similar to those predicted for isolated joint pairs in Fig. 3. As the simulation continues (Fig. 5iii–vi) several types of linkage structures are observed which are similar to those in Fig. 3; note that only six frames are shown from a simulation consisting of 350 steps. At Location A the linking structure is similar to that for an overlapping pair of joints in an extensional orientation. At Location B the structure is similar to that for under-lapping joints in a contractional geometry (the joints under-lap by a single mesh element). At Location C the...
structure is the same as that for under-lapping joints in an extensional geometry. At Location D stepover geometries for both overlapping extensional joints and under-lapping contractional joints are represented, the predicted linkage structure is similar to that for overlapping joints in an extensional orientation.

At Location E the linkage structure that develops is different to that predicted for an isolated pair of under-lapping contractional joints (Fig. 3b); at E initial failure occurs in the host rock between the two joints as opposed to propagating from the joint tips. Because processing time for the large simulation (~20 joints) was 5–6 days, a small (6400 element mesh) simulation investigated local behaviour at Location E using three joints in the same relative positions; both the physical size represented by each finite-element and the boundary conditions (progressive displacement of the $s_1$ boundaries starting from an initial value of $s_1 = 2s_3$) remain the same as that for the large simulation in Fig. 5. The stress field (Fig. 6a) shows that the relative positions of the pre-existing joints facilitates interaction of the compressional quadrants of the two joints ($j_1$ and $j_3$), which results in linkage due to shear failure. In the simulation joints $j_1$ and $j_3$ shown in Fig. 6b overlap by 38 mm. If the tip of joint $j_3$ is adjusted (by at least 38 mm either way) either to clearly over- or under-lap $j_1$, linkage geometries are similar to those expected for over- or under-lapping contractional joints (Fig. 3b; Fig. 12 in Segall and Pollard, 1980).

Three joints circled at Location A form two stepovers. The left stepover is extensional and the right stepover is contractional. The damage evolution (Fig. 7a) and strain evolution (Fig. 7b) in a small-scale simulation (6400 elements) with the joints in the same relative locations shows two types of linkage structure. Initially, the pair on the left behaves as the extensional geometry in Fig. 3c. However, as the fracture propagating from the middle of the upper joint lengthens, it begins to interact with the joint on the right of the figure, changing its orientation and eventually resulting in linkage of the pair of joints on the right that are in a contractional geometry (Fig. 3d).

Location B evolves a linkage structure similar to that predicted in Fig. 3b for under-lapping contractional geometries (the joints are displaced from being collinear by approximately 1 cm). At Location D, despite the upper two joints being closer together than those at Location B and in a more pronounced under-lapping contractional geometry, a similar linkage structure does not develop. Instead, a wing crack propagates from the much more distant, extensionally-related joint below. This geometry illustrates the effect of neighbouring joints, which is investigated by a small-scale simulation of the three joints at Location D. The initial joint configuration is shown in Fig. 8a and the magnitude of $s_1$ prior to failure is shown in Fig. 8b. Comparison of Fig. 8b with the stress fields which are predicted for each of the three joints if simulated separately (Fig. 9) shows that having all three joints present reduces the magnitude and extent of the region of compressional stress surrounding the interacting tips, and increases the magnitude and extent of the tensional stress, most notably between joints $p_2$ and $p_3$. This explains the linkage structure that develops between the more distant extensional pair of joints, evident from the strain evolution (Fig. 8c). The resulting fracture pattern is similar to that seen in a geometrically similar configuration of starter joints in Segall and Pollard (1980, their Fig. 12).

3.1.1. The influence of joint length on linkage

The extent of the local stress perturbation associated with a fault has previously been related to its trace length (e.g. Segall and Pollard, 1980). To explore the effect of joint length on evolving fault linkage, small-scale simulations were performed adjusting the joint configuration at Location D. The lengths of the upper
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Fig. 10. Spatial and temporal evolution of strain predicted by MOPEDZ with pre-existing structures in the same relative positions as Location D but with (a) the initial length of $p_1$ doubled ($p_{1.1}$) and (b) initial length of $p_1$ and $p_2$ were both doubled ($p_{1.1}$ and $p_{1.2}$ respectively). Note that wing cracks only develop on $p_3$ when the upper wing cracks reach the boundary; had it not done so the growth of wing cracks from $p_3$ would have been suppressed. (All faults are left lateral.)
joints ($p_1$ and $p_2$) were increased, keeping the relative position of the adjacent joint tips constant (Fig. 10). When the length of either one (Fig. 10a) or both (Fig. 10b) of the upper joints are doubled, joints $p_1$ and $p_2$ link in a manner similar to that for under-lapping contractional joint pairs. Previously, in Fig. 8, linkage was between the extensional pair of joints $p_2$ and $p_3$. From these simulations it is apparent that the length of the joints affects the magnitude and extent of the stress field at the joint tips, thus enhancing or decreasing the likelihood of linkage between a pair of joints.

3.1.2. Separation between joints

Small-scale simulations consisting of up to three joints were used to explore the effect of joint separation; this was investigated by increasing the distance between joints in the y direction. The position of the lower joint (based on the configuration at Location D) was adjusted until it was separated enough to allow the upper two ($p_1$ and $p_2$) to link (Fig. 11a). The stress perturbations due to each joint were then explored by systematically removing each from the simulation (leaving only two joints in the simulation). This produced varying joint linkage geometries from joints linking rapidly (Fig. 11b) through joint that fail to link, but show an evolving structure within the linkage zone (Fig. 11c) to joints which do not link (Fig. 11d). Note that in this final simulation (Fig. 11d) the wing crack which develops from $p_3$ does so at a different angle ($40^\circ$) than for the original simulation ($24^\circ$). Simulations illustrated in Fig. 11 show that the proximity of neighbouring joints affects both the location and orientation of the linkage structures that develop.

3.2. Exploring the effect of orientation of the regional stress field

Simulations of linkage from isolated pairs of joints in Lunn et al. (2008) showed that one of the key factors controlling the fault-zone geometry was the orientation of the joints to $s_1$. In the case of joints at a low angle to $s_1$ wing cracks were found to propagate back into the compressional quadrant, similar to structures observed in the field (Vermilye and Scholz, 1998). To explore what effect the orientation of $s_1$ can have on fault-zone evolution from a complex joint pattern, the joints in Fig. 4 were oriented at an angle of approximately $30^\circ$ to $s_1$ (Fig. 12i). The predicted evolution of linkage structures through time is shown in Figs. 12ii–vi; a visual comparison of Fig. 12vi and Fig. 5vi shows the final geometries to be very different. Critically, joint traces that were approximately co-linear now progressively link up along strike to form long smooth linear fault traces (e.g. Locations...
Further, the few wing cracks that do evolve in Fig. 12 only develop once neighbouring joints have linked to form through-going faults and propagate back into the compressional quadrant (e.g. Locations A and F). The only exception to this is at Location C, (Fig. 12iv) where an extensional stepover of the underlapping joints develops.

The alteration of $\sigma^{\text{LOCAL}}$ and $\sigma^{\text{GLOBAL}}$ in both magnitude and direction at the tips of a propagating fracture (or the original joint) results from a combination of factors (Fig. 13).

- The shape of the stress field around a fracture or joint is affected by its orientation with respect to the simulated far-field stresses $\sigma_1$ and $\sigma_3$ (Fig. 13a).
- The stress fields of neighbouring faults and/or fractures interact such that they can act to enhance or diminish local perturbations in the stress field (Fig. 13b).
- Wing cracks which have a different orientation to that of the initial joint will generate new perturbations in the local stress field (Fig. 13b(ii) for $\sigma_1$).

These factors that control the perturbations in the local stress field have an influence on the different styles of linkage structures which develop between initially co-linear joints.

Three key observations are apparent from a comparison of the simulation results for pre-existing joints at 60° and 30° to $\sigma_1$:

- For the simulation at 60° to $\sigma_1$ (Fig. 5), the linking wing cracks are at a much wider variety of angles (e.g. see Locations B and D in Fig. 5) than those at 30° to $\sigma_1$ (Fig. 12).
- For the simulation at 60° to $\sigma_1$ (Fig. 5) many joints develop multiple wing cracks at individual joint tips and linkage structures tend to exhibit more damage than those at 30° to $\sigma_1$ (e.g. compare Location D in Figs. 5 and 12).
- With structures at 30° to $\sigma_1$, approximately 60% of the joints link along strike forming smooth linear features which span the model domain (Fig. 12); these features do not form for the simulations at 60° to $\sigma_1$.

4. Discussion

The simulations show three key findings: (1) local spatial and temporal variations in the stress field have a significant effect on the location, orientation and timing of wing crack development, resulting in significantly different patterns than those predicted from consideration of single fractures or pairs of fractures. (2) A significant difference in resulting fault-zone geometry is predicted when $\sigma_1$ is oriented at 30° with respect to the initial joint pattern (Figs. 5 and 12). (3) The spatial distribution (lengths, separation, overlap, underlap, spacing) of the original joints is a key control on the predicted locations, orientations and timing of wing crack development.

4.1. Local variations in the stress field

The simulations focus on fault formation via linkage of pre-existing original joints and show that the proximity of neighbouring joints, and their effect on the local stress field, affects both the location and orientation of the linkage structures that develop. The large-scale simulations represent an area approximately 4.5 m wide interestingly, similar results are predicted if the model domain represents a larger physical size (e.g. several kilometres wide), where the initial features are 20 en-echelon pre-existing faults (the only difference being the physical size represented by each element in the finite-element mesh and the load required to create the same number of damaged elements).

Currently researchers in many fields use predictions of static stress distribution around an existing fault network to predict the locations and orientations of likely fracture zones (Maerten et al., 2002; Micklethwaite and Cox, 2004). Our simulations demonstrate that the locations of active fracture zones associated with faulting are likely to be critically affected by the constantly evolving local stress field as the fracture network develops. Simulations suggest that, in some cases, fracture zones will not begin to develop until adjacent through-going faults have fully formed. Further, the orientations of these fractures will be influenced by the evolving...
geometry and proximity of neighbouring features within the network. Hence, predictions of the location and orientation of fracture zones, such as those by Maerten et al. (2002) and Micklethwaite and Cox (2004) may be improved by incorporating simulation of the constantly evolving local stress.

4.2. Orientation of the maximum compressive far-field stress

For a simulated $\sigma_1$ at a high angle to the original joints (Fig. 5), faults are principally formed by slip on pre-existing joints which then grow in length as wing cracks evolve and link originally discontinuous adjacent fault traces, resulting in a ‘stepped’ fault-zone geometry. A comparison of these results with Fig. 1 shows them to be similar to the complex fault-zone geometry in Fig. 1b (Martel, 1990); a large number of wing cracks and linkage structures are present, at a variety of angles, with few through-going features. Our simulations suggest that the faults from the Waterfall region of the Sierra Nevada (Fig. 1b) were formed under $\sigma_1$ at approximately $60^\circ$ to the original joints. This angle to $\sigma_1$ differs from the $25^\circ$–$30^\circ$ derived for the same field site using linear elastic fracture mechanics (LEFM) by Segall and Pollard (1983) and Martel (1997); this may be due to the assumptions inherent in LEFM where failure is inferred from the steady-state stress distribution local to a single infinitely thin fracture within an infinite elastic domain.

For $\sigma_1$ at a low angle ($30^\circ$) to the original joints, smooth linear faults are predicted by the simulations with a small number of linking fractures propagating back into the compressional quadrant. A comparison of Fig. 12 with the field observations shows the predicted fault geometry to be similar to that in Fig. 1a (Pennacchioni and Mancktelow, 2007) for the small NE-striking faults (these are labelled as fractures but offset the aplite). We suggest that these NE-striking faults may have formed by linkage of small joints and fractures when $\sigma_1$ was oriented approximately NNE.

Smooth co-linear fault traces such as those in Fig. 1a are commonly observed in field exposures. However, they are not generally interpreted from field data as having evolved from linkage of co-linear joint traces, but instead are mapped as long, small offset faults. In many cases this is likely to be because it is difficult from field data alone to distinguish whether individual
along strike sections of a fault originated as co-linear joints or formed along strike linkage. Multiple offset markers could be useful because sites of linkage commonly remain as displacement minima along a fault that develops by segment linkage (e.g. Bürgmann et al., 1994). However, the aplite dykes used as offset markers are rare in both of the field areas in Fig. 1. It is possible that the fault gouge within a slipped joint will have a different mineralogy, grain size distribution or fabric than that along a linkage fracture.

The faults from the Bear Creek region of the Sierra Nevada (Fig. 1c) are a combination of frequent through-going ‘smooth’ faults and multiple wing cracks (typical of more ‘stepped’ fault-zones). We suggest that at this location one or more rotations of $\theta_1$ may have occurred during fault-zone evolution as discussed by Segall and Pollard (1983). Rotation of $\theta_1$ may be caused by an actual change in the regional stress field or by more local evolution of the stress field during development of larger scale structures (e.g. due to nearby linkage of faults at a scale one order of magnitude greater than those simulated here).

5. Summary and conclusions

This paper applies a finite-element model, MOPEDZ, to investigate fault-zone evolution from more than 20 pre-existing joints in granite. We simulate fault-zone evolution for maximum compressive far-field stress ($\sigma_1$) at 30° and 60° to the orientation of the pre-existing joints. Key findings from the simulations are:

1. Local spatial and temporal variations in the stress field arise from interactions between neighbouring joints that have a significant effect on the predicted locations, orientations and timings of wing crack development.

2. A clear difference in fault-zone geometry is predicted depending on the orientation of $\sigma_1$ with respect to the initial joint pattern: for $\sigma_1$ at an angle of 30° to the initial joints, co-planar joints progressively link up along strike to form long ‘smooth’ linear faults with few additional wing cracks; for an orientation of $\sigma_1$ of 60° to the initial joints, a more fractured complex ‘stepped’ fault-zone geometry evolves with multiple wing cracks forming linkage structures at a variety of angles. Existing field data show that both ‘smooth’ and ‘stepped’ fault-zone trace geometries are commonly observed in crystalline rocks.

3. Local spatial and temporal stress perturbations affect predictions of zones of enhanced fracturing within fault networks at larger scales.
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